**PURPOSE 5**

Handle contractual compliance monitoring requests, audits, and complaints submitted by Registry Operators, Registrars, Registered Name Holders, and other Internet users;

***Disclaimer:*** *This overview has been developed to facilitate the EPDP Team’s consideration of the concerns expressed and possible updates to the recommendations. However, this does not replace the EPDP Team’s obligation to review all input received in full and to indicate if any concerns in this overview have inadvertently been mischaracterized.*

**Noted Concerns**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Concern** | **Corresponding PCRT Comment #** | **Further Discussion Required?** |
| Appropriate joint controller agreements/resolution of ICANN as a joint controller/controller are a prerequisite to this purpose. | 6, 7, 9  (GoDaddy, Key-Systems, RrSG) | Yes/No |
| The current wording is ambiguous in parts and needs to be narrowly tailored and the data processing needs to be fit-for-purpose. (Various proposals of how to cure ambiguity is included in the corresponding comments.) | 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17  (GoDaddy, Association of the Internet Industry, ISPCP, i2Coalition, Leap of Faith Financial Services Inc., RySG, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, Internet Governance Project) | Yes/No |
| Data processing should only occur for reasonable complaints. | 14  (Domain Name Rights Coalition) | Yes/No |
| ICANN org should be entitled to submit compliance monitoring requests. | 16  (iThreat Cyber Group) | Yes/No |
| If Purpose 1 is reworded appropriately, this purpose becomes unnecessary. | 18  (John Poole, Domain Name Registrant) | Yes/No |