

# For Best Audio: Join via Telephone Using Dial-Out

Connecting via the audio bridge is always preferable to the AC audio connection.

Upon logging into Adobe Connect, a pop-up window will appear for the AC to call your phone. This preferred method will assure the best audio for the meeting.

Join Audio Conference

How would you like to join the meeting's audio conference?

Dial-out [Receive a call from the meeting]

+1 (USA) 310-111-11111

Dial-in to the Audio Conference via Phone

Using Microphone (Computer/Device)

Join Listen Only

After 2 background noise occurrences, staff will mute the offending line (either Telephone or Adobe Connect).

After two failed attempts to speak over the audio, participants will be invited to type their comments in the chat or take them to the mailing list.

**PLEASE ALWAYS MUTE WHEN NOT SPEAKING!**

**\*6 to mute and \*6 to unmute**

For any questions, dial out requests, apologies, please email: [mssi-secretariat@icann.org](mailto:mssi-secretariat@icann.org)

# **RDS-WHOIS2-RT Leadership Call #45**

10 January 2019



# RDS/WHOIS2-RT Leadership Call Agenda

---

- Welcome, roll-call
- Draft Report Updates
- Work plan
- Plenary Call #46 Agenda
- A.O.B.

# Draft Report Updates

## Agenda Item #2

# Draft Report Updates

---

- Minor updates from Lili on **WHOIS1 Recs #5-9: Data Accuracy** and **WHOIS1 Recs #10: Privacy/Proxy Services**
- Several editorial edits from Jackie across the report
- Edits expected from Cathrin on Law Enforcement and Contractual Compliance

# Work Plan

Agenda item #3

# Work Plan

## Current version

<b>Final Report</b>			
<b>Updating draft report</b>			
Assemble final recommendations and update draft report based on public comments received	18-Nov-18	21-Dec-18	RDS-WHOIS2
Cross-check final recommendations with scope and Bylaws	18-Nov-18	21-Dec-18	RDS-WHOIS2
<b>Approval process</b>			
Approve final findings and recommendations	18-Nov-18	11-Jan-19	RDS-WHOIS2
Approve final report for submission to ICANN Board	13-Dec-18	11-Jan-19	RDS-WHOIS2
<b>Send final report</b>			
Send final report to ICANN Board	6-Jan-18	25-Jan-19	RDS-WHOIS2
Publish final report	6-Jan-18	25-Jan-19	ICANN org
Send final report to language services	6-Jan-18	25-Jan-19	ICANN org
Provide community with overview of findings and final recommendations	7-Jan-19	11-Jan-19	RDS-WHOIS2

# Work Plan

## New version

<b>Final Report</b>			
<b>Updating draft report</b>			
Assemble final recommendations and update draft report based on public comments received	18-Nov-18	28-Jan-19	RDS-WHOIS2
Cross-check final recommendations with scope and Bylaws	18-Nov-18	28-Jan-18	RDS-WHOIS2
<b>Approval process</b>			
Approve final findings and recommendations	18-Nov-18	4-Feb-19	RDS-WHOIS2
Approve final report for submission to ICANN Board	13-Dec-18	4-Feb-19	RDS-WHOIS2
<b>Send final report</b>			
Send final report to ICANN Board	28-Jan-18	18-Feb-19	RDS-WHOIS2
Publish final report	28-Jan-18	18-Feb-19	ICANN org
Send final report to language services	28-Jan-18	18-Feb-19	ICANN org
Provide community with overview of findings and final recommendations	18-Feb-19	14-Mar-19	RDS-WHOIS2



# Plenary Call #45 Agenda

Agenda item #4

# Plenary Call #45: Agenda

---

1. Welcome, roll-call, Sol
2. Recommendations updates review:
  - *Any substantive submitted updates*
3. A.O.B.

**A.O.B.**

Agenda item #4

# A.O.B.

---

- Next leadership call

---

# Confirm Decisions Reached & Action Items

# Appendix

## Final Report Open Action Items

# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## Level of priorities

Action item: Susan to evaluate each recommendation and Define priority level for each recommendation with some quick description. E.g. High, to be implemented by...

Action item: Susan to include brief statement that refers to timing envisioned for recommendations while highlighting some of the dependencies. Agreement to consider the six-month Bylaw window in assessments.

## Report

Action item: Susan will listen to the meeting recording for conversation regarding language “The board should negotiate”, and update the wording through the report consistently.

## Executive Summary

Action item: RT needs to evaluate recommendation numbering, potential explanation in ES.

Action item: History of WHOIS on ICANN’s website. Erika to provide Jackie with the right content/references link so introduction section can be properly updated.

# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## Background Section

Action item: Alan and Jackie review and modify the WHOIS Background section (in reference to the last bullet on slide # 40 of Day # 3 of F2F meeting)

## WHOIS1 Rec #1: Strategic Priority (Cathrin)

Decision Reached: Do a rewording/reaffirmation of strategic priority, in the implementation note: reference to stakeholders is there. Work should be interactive and Board should not act unilaterally.

Action item: Since the former Board WG was dissolved, the recommendation should be reformatted to say any WG that is focusing on RDS issues should be made public and not make specific reference to a particular WG.

Action item: As per Dmitry's note, Cathrin to report for this recommendation that "legislative efforts are not enough".



# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## **WHOIS1 Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy (Carlton)**

Action item: Jackie to work with Alan to mention the problems of the policy development process regarding a single RDS (WHOIS), to be placed in the Executive Summary.

## **WHOIS1 Rec #3: Outreach (Alan)**

Action item: DNRC comment on section: Alan to update language.

### **R3.1:**

Action item: Alan to clarify what specific improvements we are looking for.

# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## **WHOIS1 Rec #11: Common Interface (Susan/Volker)**

Action item: Susan/Volker to clarify that this recommendation was not specifically aimed at compliance and evaluate recently added failure responses from the common interface tool, where she also provided supplementary questions to be answered by ICANN org/Compliance

## **Objective 3: Law Enforcement Needs (Cathrin)**

### **LE.1**

Action item: MSSSI to estimate number of hours spent on the LE survey in response to NCSG request for estimated cost associated with conducting the survey.

Action item: Cathrin to bring this recommendation to GAC's attention, Alan to EPDP's

Action item: Clarify what "regular" means and include rationale: 1) ex-ante impact assessment 2) evaluate new policies once there are in place.

# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## **Objective 4: Consumer Trust (Alan/Erika)**

Action item: Alan and Erika to update section.

## **Objective 5: Safeguarding Registrant Data (Alan)**

Action item: Alan to clarify recommendation in light of section 3.2 of the 2013 RAA

# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## **Objective 6: ICANN Contractual Compliance Actions, Structure and Processes (Susan, Cathrin, Alan)**

### **CM1:**

Action item: Alan to reword CM.1 so that it does not say “the Board should negotiate ...”. The goal is to ensure the recommendation is not dictating a PDP but suggesting a change somehow.

### **CM2:**

Action item: Alan and Volker to add more details to CM.2 to clarify the registrant fields being addressed in the recommendation. Additionally, the whole recommendation should be reworded to better convey intent.

Update as of 11 December 2018: Based on the updated recommendation and rationale language Volker provided on 11 December (Day # 2), Susan is to take the pen and update the relevant sections of the report accordingly.

Jackie to add in introduction, to the extent that Alan/Volker deliberations so far impact areas in our review.

# Final Report Open Action Items

---

## **CM3:**

Action item: Recommendation to be deleted. Add this as a more targeted outreach in the relevant recommendation.

Cathrin to clarify Board options in a footnote.

## **CM4:**

Action item: Alan to write to ICANN org Compliance and negotiate language to be added to relevant page(s). If successful, recommendation will be deleted.

## **Objective 7: ICANN Bylaws (Carlton/Alan)**

### **BY.1**

Action item: Carlton to amend the recommendation to incorporate the words “safeguarding the registrant data” and provide clarification that the suggestion is meant to postpone the topic to future reviews.