

For Best Audio: Join via Telephone Using Dial-Out

Connecting via the audio bridge is always preferable to the AC audio connection.

Upon logging into Adobe Connect, a pop-up window will appear for the AC to call your phone. This preferred method will assure the best audio for the meeting.

Join Audio Conference

How would you like to join the meeting's audio conference?

Dial-out [Receive a call from the meeting]

+1 (USA) 310-111-11111

Dial-in to the Audio Conference via Phone

Using Microphone (Computer/Device)

Join Listen Only

After 2 background noise occurrences, staff will mute the offending line (either Telephone or Adobe Connect).

After two failed attempts to speak over the audio, participants will be invited to type their comments in the chat or take them to the mailing list.

PLEASE ALWAYS MUTE WHEN NOT SPEAKING!

***6 to mute and *6 to unmute**

For any questions, dial out requests, apologies, please email: mssi-secretariat@icann.org

RDS-WHOIS2-RT Leadership Call #44

2 January 2019



RDS/WHOIS2-RT Leadership Call Agenda

- Welcome, roll-call
- Draft Report Updates
 - WHOIS1 Recs #5-9: Data Accuracy: Lili
 - WHOIS1 Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services: Lili
- Final Report Open Action Items
- Plenary Call #45 Agenda
- A.O.B.

Draft Report Updates

Agenda Item #2

Draft Report Updates

- **WHOIS1 Recs #5-9: Data Accuracy: Lili – see google doc**

Several updates to section: tables, body of section, sub-section “The Accuracy Reporting System Has Demonstrated RDS (WHOIS) Accuracy Concerns”.

Recommendation updates: recommendation field, findings, rationale.

Add for discussion and consensus on plenary call #45?

Draft Report Updates

- **WHOIS1 Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services: Lili – see google doc**

R10.1: The Board should monitor the implementation of the PPSAI. If the PPSAI policy does not become operational by 31 December 2019, the ICANN Board should ensure an amendment to the 2013 RAA (or successor documents) is proposed that ensures that the underlying registration data of domain name registrations using Privacy/Proxy providers affiliated with registrars shall be verified and validated in application of the verification and validation requirements under the RAA unless such verification or validation has already occurred at the registrar level for such domain name registrations.

Findings: The PPSAI PDP recommendations are ~~expected~~ to ensure that verification and validation requirements are expanded to also encompass the underlying registration details of privacy and proxy service providers. *There has been no way to enforce the verification and validation requirements to date. Due to the absence of an accreditation system for Privacy/Proxy service providers, all inaccurate tickets generated by WHOIS ARS project relating to known Privacy/Proxy service were closed before 1st Notice. It is understood from review by* The RDS-WHOIS2 Review Team understands from ~~of~~ existing registrar practices that registrars often already include such processes even though there is no such requirement but this is not known to be a standard practice employed by all accredited registrars.

Add for discussion and consensus on plenary call #45?

Final Report Open Action Items

Agenda item #3

Final Report Open Action Items

Level of priorities

Action item: Susan to evaluate each recommendation and draft language that concerns timing and priority levels, will email next week group at the end of next week (12/28)

Report

Action item: Susan will listen to the meeting recording for conversation regarding language “The board should negotiate”, and update the wording through the report consistently.

Executive Summary

Action item: Add section of the problems of the policy development process regarding a single RDS (WHOIS) in the Executive Summary. (based onWHOIS1 Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy action item)

Action item: RT needs to evaluate recommendation numbering, potential explanation in ES.

Action item: History of WHOIS on ICANN’s website. Erika to provide Jackie with the right content/references link so introduction section can be properly updated.

Final Report Open Action Items

Background Section

Action item: Alan and Jackie review and modify the WHOIS Background section (in reference to the last bullet on slide # 40 of Day # 3 of F2F meeting)

WHOIS1 Rec #1: Strategic Priority (Cathrin)

Decision Reached: Do a rewording/reaffirmation of strategic priority, in the implementation note: reference to stakeholders is there. Work should be interactive and Board should not act unilaterally.

Action item: Since the former Board WG was dissolved, the recommendation should be reformatted to say any WG that is focusing on RDS issues should be made public and not make specific reference to a particular WG.

Action item: Comments from NCSG on R1.1, R1.2 and R3.1 need to be updated to Neutral, instead of Disagreement in the public comment summary.

Action item: As per Dmitry's note, Cathrin to report for this recommendation that "legislative efforts are not enough".

Final Report Open Action Items

WHOIS1 Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy (Carlton)

Action item: Jackie to work with Alan to mention the problems of the policy development process regarding a single RDS (WHOIS), to be placed in the Executive Summary.

WHOIS1 Rec #3: Outreach (Alan)

Action item: DNRC comment on section: Alan to update language.

R3.1:

Action item: ICANN org to change public comment of NCSG to “Neutral” if comment not already marked as such.

Action item: Alan to clarify what specific improvements we are looking for.

R3.2:

Action item: NCSG comment: Alan to work with Jackie on rewording of the recommendations to clearly articulate the need for outreach before and after RDS changes are finalized.

Action item: Add implementation note, that the RT does not have any input on ICANN budget.

Final Report Open Action Items

WHOIS1 Rec #4: Compliance (Susan)

Action item: Susan to clarify that ICANN will not go on fact-finding missions, but use the information they currently have on hand (input received).

Susan to clarify that Compliance enforces Registrars to enforce data accuracy for registrants.

R4.1

Action item: Volker to provide language to update recommendation 4.1 based on RrSG and NCSG comments.

Action item: Susan to delete the portion of the recommendation that refers to sanctions and make a reference to it in the report text.

R4.2

Action item: Volker and Alan work on rewording R4.2 and add some metrics in for measurability and success of implementation.

Final Report Open Action Items

WHOIS1 Recs #5-9: Data Accuracy (Lili/Cathrin)

R5.1:

Action item: Lili & Cathrin to rewrite recommendation. It is conceivable that the recommendation could be removed or replaced with a different one. Consider changing the recommendation to say “ARS” (or some sort of accuracy-reporting system) must continue, even post-GDPR because the then-users of the data will want accuracy of data available to them. (There must be some level of contactability/accuracy of the data and we must consider the resources of Compliance)

Action item: Lili and Cathrin to add a bookmark “if indeed it is conceivable that the outcome of ePDP is that the work that Compliance does today becomes more complex than it currently is, compliance must be properly resourced to do its job.” Wording to be discussed.

Action item: ICANN org to double-check the recommendation numbering to ensure comment was in response to recommendation 5-9 and adjust as needed.

Action item: (RrSG comment) Cathrin to review this section of the report and consider rewording as needed. Cathrin to provide Jackie with the correct references. Cathrin to insert the references in the PC document as well.

Final Report Open Action Items

WHOIS1 Rec #11: Common Interface (Susan/Volker)

Action item: Susan/Volker to clarify that this recommendation was not specifically aimed at compliance and evaluate recently added failure responses from the common interface tool, where she also provided supplementary questions to be answered by ICANN org/Compliance

WHOIS1 Rec #12: Internationalized Domain Names (Dmitry/All)

Action item: RT to review this section and recommendation and decide if changes are needed. RT needs to evaluate recommendation numbering, potential explanation in ES.

Objective 3: Law Enforcement Needs (Cathrin)

LE.1

Action item: MSSSI to estimate number of hours spent on the LE survey in response to NCSG request for estimated cost associated with conducting the survey.

Action item: Cathrin to bring this recommendation to GAC's attention, Alan to EPDP's

Action item: Clarify what "regular" means and include rationale: 1) ex-ante impact assessment 2) evaluate new policies once there are in place.

Final Report Open Action Items

LE.2

Action item: In response to NCSG comment, Cathrin to add a clause “factoring costs/benefits analysis”. Also, reword the recommendation to define what “extend” means.

New suggested text: The ICANN Board should consider to conducting comparable surveys and/or studies(as described in LE.1) to other RDS (WHOIS) users working with law enforcement on a regular basis.

Objective 4: Consumer Trust (Alan/Erika)

Action item: Alan and Erika to update section.

Objective 5: Safeguarding Registrant Data (Alan)

Action item: Alan to update recommendation:

Agreement to add: “ICANN Board should consider whether and to what extent notifications of breaches should be publicly disclosed.”

Action item: Alan to clarify recommendation in light of section 3.2 of the 2013 RAA

Final Report Open Action Items

Objective 6: ICANN Contractual Compliance Actions, Structure and Processes (Susan, Cathrin, Alan)

CM1:

Action item: Alan to reword CM.1 so that it does not say “the Board should negotiate ...”. The goal is to ensure the recommendation is not dictating a PDP but suggesting a change somehow.

CM2:

Action item: Alan and Volker to add more details to CM.2 to clarify the registrant fields being addressed in the recommendation. Additionally, the whole recommendation should be reworded to better convey intent.

Update as of 11 December 2018: Based on the updated recommendation and rationale language Volker provided on 11 December (Day # 2), Susan is to take the pen and update the relevant sections of the report accordingly.

Jackie to add in introduction, to the extent that their deliberations so far impact areas in our review.

Final Report Open Action Items

CM3:

Action item: Recommendation to be deleted. Add this as a more targeted outreach in the relevant recommendation.

Cathrin to clarify Board options in a footnote.

CM4:

Action item: Alan to write to ICANN org Compliance and negotiate language to be added to relevant page(s). If successful, recommendation will be deleted.

Objective 7: ICANN Bylaws (Carlton/Alan)

BY.1

Action item: Carlton to amend the recommendation to incorporate the words “safeguarding the registrant data” and provide clarification that the suggestion is meant to postpone the topic to future reviews.

Plenary Call #45 Agenda

Agenda item #4

Plenary Call #45: Agenda

1. Welcome, roll-call, Sol
2. Recommendations updates review:
 - Data Accuracy Section & Recommendation 5.1
 - Recommendation 10.1
 - + *Any other submitted updates*
3. A.O.B.

A.O.B.

Agenda item #4

A.O.B.

Confirm Decisions Reached & Action Items