

Attendance:

Anne Aikman-Scalese	Jessica Hooper
Annebeth Lange	Jim Prendergast
Avri Doria	Kavouss Arasteh
Bruna Santos	Kristine Dorrain
Cheryl Langdon-Orr	Malgorzata Pek
Christopher Niemi	Martin Sutton
Christopher Wilkinson	Matthew Crossman
Collin Kurre	Phil Buckingham
Dietmar Lenden	Phil Marano
Donna Austin	Roger Carney
Gg Levine	Sara Bockey
Greg Shatan	Sophie Hey
Heath Dixon	Susan Payne
Jamie Baxter	Taylor R.W. Bentley
Janvier Ngnoulaye	Vanda Scartezini
Jeff Neuman	Zornitsa Marcheva

Audio only:

Karen Berstein

Apologies:

Katrin Ohlmer
Alberto Soto
Maxim Alzoba
Alan Greenberg

Staff:

Steve Chan
Julie Hedlund
Fabien Betremieux
Berry Cobb
Michelle DeSmyter

AC chat:

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 07 January 2019 at 15:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/rYIWBg>

Annebeth Lange, WT5, co-lead ccNSO:Hi Kavouss, greetings from Norway

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Finally in the AC

Sara Bockey:FYI - I will have to drop at the top of the hour for another call

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone, sorry to take too long to enter

Vanda Scartezini:yes

Steve Chan:The Work Track 5 public comment proceeding is here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_public-2Dcomments_geo-2Dnames-2Dwt5-2Dinitial-2D2018-2D12-2D05-2Den&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJXTXZWUmifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=PzS_BwjfQ3zveg68g8afS1sVTXL5I7jd0SSWJpaW2NM&e=

Steve Chan:And the Work Track 5 webinar is on Wednesday, 09 January 2019 at 20:00 UTC

Christopher Wilkinson:LAC Call: Let us know when. The hispanohablante community is not only in LA.

Vanda Scartezini:there will be an announcement for LAC region webinar about WT5

Kavouss Arasteh:pls demute my line

Kavouss Arasteh:i can not demute thge line from here by pushing star 6 may you pls demute me from thr ac room

Kavouss Arasteh:pls demute my line

Michelle DeSmyter:We are working on his line

Michelle DeSmyter:Kavouss is now unmuted

Kavouss Arasteh:pls didmute my line

Michelle DeSmyter:Kavouss - no need to press *6, the operator unmuted your line

Annebeth Lange, WT5, co-lead ccNSO:I have to leave for another meeting in 5 minutes. If there is anything I can help with, please send me an email.

Christopher Wilkinson:Could we put the sub group triage reports up on the AC screen?

Jeff Neuman:@Steve/Julie, can you post the links to A, B, and C

Steve Chan:Sure Jeff

Steve Chan:@Christopher, these are very much a work in progress still of course

Steve Chan:Sub Group A: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_15zDdzlBwLCz5m2sNXui6N6pporbUq-2DIDFEwfh4rKi4A_edit-23gid-3D1080119359&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJXTXZUumifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=VZge6xKgWbzw-EuFmIleio2LHXWd6gqGHG40YZ-QfdY&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):We will be following the same methodology as we have done WITH the existing WT 1-4 analysis tool

Steve Chan:Sub Group B: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_133WbhWYB4M4kT6DqSfiCR2-2Dij7jxNkLj5EWZL-2DNA95M_edit-23gid-3D330918767&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJXTXZUumifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=WoDBadTxI9Fg_Q-tfbQ150EfGX08dPnm5QH1iYT34&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Thx Stsve

Steve Chan:And Sub Group C: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1MQmo1B6zBqGXYFRF2pKZXPhGmz0JfZhIaMxKIdVsT1g_edit-23gid-3D1080119359&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJXTXZUumifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=ysHEryfM60_jKdy-LUIBgZ4zDWlgbXzoxBzhDLQVqk&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Each cell with the Synopsis has a link TO the full Public Comment received

Kavouss Arasteh:tk

Kavouss Arasteh:fantastic

Kavouss Arasteh:tk

Steve Chan:And for clarity, the Agreement, Divergence, New Ideas, Concerns are from the perspective of the public comment versus the report (not what the WG has concluded)...as Julie also just said.

Steve Chan:In the Google Sheets shared above for the public comment review, you can find Work Plan for each sub group in the first tab.

Jim Prendergast:it might be best to ask the SSAC that question too.

Jeff Neuman:@Jim - I agree we should ask

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Anne as far as I understand the Name Collision Program is very much in its 'formative' phase and even when begun is a multiyear planned project

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):But Yes we need to Ask for an update

Avri Doria:NCAP is not budgeted yet.

Donna Austin, Neustar:Is there any way that we can get the Board's view on whether there is a dependency, because that may be more important to understand than the SSAC's view.

Jim Prendergast:it would be good to clarify as well if NCAP is a dependency in the mind of SSAC as well as the Board. That's a pretty major issue we have to deal with if it is.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Good point @Donna

Phil Buckingham:My apologies for my late attendance

Donna Austin, Neustar:But while we're getting that information it should not toll our work.

Donna Austin, Neustar:I think the NCAP is the result of a Board request.

Avri Doria:yes NCAP came about because of the Nov 17 resolution.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Yes Donna - last I heard the budget was being revised and the structure has been reworked but the Board did tell us that they adopted advice they received from the SSAC and we have a communication from the Board about the advice they adopted as to the "dependency". I think the SAC report does not say this depends on NCAP per se but rather that no next round should go forward til name collision issues are resolved.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Jeff - I am talking about the Board resolution related to a prior SAC report advising the Board. We have a chart that Steve prepared related to the Board's letter to Sub Pro.

Christopher Wilkinson:So... NCAP is about Name collisions - correct?

Jim Prendergast:yes

Steve Chan:I believe Anne is referring to this Board resolution: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_features.icann.org_consideration-2Dssac-2Drecommendations-2Dsac047-2Dsac058-2Dsac061-2Dsac090-2Dand-2Dsac097&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWI PqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIbLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJXTXZWUmifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=SuxoPWZZht2jnCpsagOG7_2bp3sayU8k9lOyyaA75M&e=

Steve Chan:The resolution refers to a scorecard, which can be found here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_bm_briefing-2Dmaterials-2D2-2Dredacted-2D23jun18-2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIP qsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIbLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGz aoJXTXZWUmifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=bA612D_XYP_bQmOt8mRNY1NRT_fAGkR26-srBkltfyg&e=

Steve Chan:The Board actually adopted the scoredcard, although within the scoredcard, it states: "The Board accepts this advice and will ask the GNSO Subsequent Procedures PDP to include this recommendation in its work." This is in respect to SAC090, which is an, "SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace"

Steve Chan:And this WG's worksheet is here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1PrXjzhhdwU209k8oF6RG8pohqwBVz-5FUdYr4Zwm-2DWjrc_edit-23gid-3D0&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT 6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIbLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJX TXZWUmifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=FqjQ2xJaIMtjhOIXhQ7r6H6XSiHFGdwjrTbYPr6aVus&e=

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 Christopher... makes it more aligned with what we have discussed

Anne Aikman-Scalese:re name collisions - Yes - Steve - very precisely stated. It's SAC 90 that creates the potential "dependency" since the Board adopted that and it states that various risk assessments should be done prior to "adding new names" to the TLD space.

Kavouss Arasteh:It is 01,00 AM I will dtrop in few mints

Kavouss Arasteh:PLS kindly not redial

Kavouss Arasteh:TKS

Michelle DeSmyter:thank you

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION: Speaking of a "round being closed", do we have a list yet of the applications still pending from 2012 and their current status? QUESTION

Vanda Scartezini:I know that at least one string is pending for decision but would be good to know the whole list

Steve Chan:@Anne, the New gTLD micro site should help provide that list. If you go to this site: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gtldresult.icann.org_applicationstatus_viewstatus&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwhehFBfjrsjWv9&m=q2vMXoUTGzaoJTXZWUmifK9K0E2KLb3Rn8o-VQ49wI&s=5merzk4m1-FkiTkW5f8VxMBPANDgoPJWSLhyszEiLI4&e=

Steve Chan:You should be able to filter down. For instance, filtering Application Status to Active provides a list of 22 applications.

Greg Shatan:With apologies, I must be going, as I have a meeting at the top of the hour, and the church bells are ringing outside my office.

Vanda Scartezini:thanks Steve.

Phil Buckingham:@ Vanda , Anne, I can send you the list . At least 10 contention sets out 234 STILL remain unresolved 7 years since Jan 2012. .Music . gay .hotel . kid(s) come to mind . This obviously excludes the highly contentious outstanding cases re . Amazon & .Web

Vanda Scartezini:thanks Phil , is amazing we still have unsolved strings under discussion...

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@STeve - thanks Steve - however, I have requested that we receive a formal list from staff because there are policy issues related to defining rounds and we need to consider these issues from the standpoint of the full Working Group. Jeff indicated we would receive this list and that it would be circulated to the full WG.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: The protocol for Working Group guidelines is that there are Consensus levels specified and if applicable, Minority views. The GNSO then has to make its recommendation to the Board regarding those items.

Steve Chan:@Anne, Jeff said that after I commented in chat here :) You'll see an action item is now captured.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:many thanks Steve!

Kristine Dorrain:Hi all, been on the phone (long drive this morning)...just got in. Happy New Year!

Jim Prendergast:im switching to phone only. thx

Anne Aikman-Scalese:JEff - I think the GNSO Council has to decide what it is formally recommending to the Board.

Steve Chan:Yes

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd 2:Yes

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Donna makes a good point. I think GNSO Council has "oversight", even if they do not have a habit of taking up an issue with a PDP recommendation. They also have to deal with the fact that communications from the Board ultimately have to be handled at the GNSO Council level because if these are not reflected in policy recommendations, the Board will come to the Council to ask why.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:@Donna .. would that include addressing issues raised by minority interests in the ICANN community that are left unaddressed or create further disadvantage simply because existing policy (or status quo) plays to the benefit of the majority?

Christopher Wilkinson: In view of the changes that have taken place since 2012, i suggest that the GNSO Council should co-opt for these purposes additional members from the other SO/ACs most directly concerned.

Christopher Wilkinson:Jeff's becoming inaudible

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd 2:much better now

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Christohper - interesting observation - for example, ALAC does not have a vote on Council. I think the structure contemplates that ALAC, GAC, and others provided direct advice to the Board. The Board ends up having to "mediate" this advice, which of course slows things down.

Michelle DeSmyter:better

Phil Buckingham:much better Jeff

Vanda Scartezini:yes good now

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Jamie, I understand and appreciate you concern, but my sense is that the Council is reluctant to debate issues that were discussed by a PDP WG and recommendations made.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):I sincerley hope she is ot litening now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Audio ad Jeff

Donna Austin, Neustar:Many thanks to Emily

Steve Chan:Your audio is going in and out again Jeff, at least for me (and Cheryl)

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Where it gets really interesting is that when the GNSO advises the Board, it takes a 2/3 vote to override it. When the GAC advises the Board, it takes 60% vote to override it. So when GNSO and GAC advice conflict, the Board tends to come back to tell us all to "work it out".This of course slows things down if we have not worked it out before the recommendations go to the Board. Maybe that is why the Board wants us to clarify that existing policy from 2012 applies unless there is a clear recommendation otherwise and/or we have Board resolutions that modify that policy.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:@Donna .. the concern here is for some subgroups of the new gTLD program will never get a fair shake because the louder & larger voices in the community maintain control over the smaller voices in the community. For example, will the community TLD program ever get to serve at its best if some are trying to keep it from getting in the way of their interests.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP Co-Chair):Thanks everyone Bye for now

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Jamie, sadly that's a challenge of the MS model.

Avri Doria:bye

Vanda Scartezini:happy beginning of the year with lots of success toe veryone

Michelle DeSmyter:Tuesday, 22 January 2019 15:00 UTC

Janvier NGNOULAYE:Thank you too and bye

Martin Sutton:thx - bye all

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:@Donna ... sad indeed

Anne Aikman-Scalese:By all - thanks to Jeff, Cheryl and staff!

Christopher Wilkinson:B'ye - CW