AC Chat:
Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Group A call on Thursday, 10 January 2019 at 20:00 UTC
Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki: https://community.icann.org/x/pYIWBg
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):HI
Julie Bisland:Hello (again) Cheryl!
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yup
Julie Bisland::) I can hear the happiness in your voice
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Not like I have been on calls sonce my 0200 or anything :-) Ohh wait!...
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):well I did get a bit over 2 hrs off accross all that so Breakfast was appreciated
Julie Bisland:I bet! well, if you fall asleep, no one will know as long as you're muted :)
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):But I do tend to type in chat so perhaps.... ....
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Naa my day here has well and truley started I even got a loaf of bread on to proove in my "time off"
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Steve what line are we starting at?
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Robin hasn't joined yet we kinda need her
Steve Chan:@Cheryl, line 1 for 2.2.4 actually :) Makes it easy~
Robin Gross:Adobe was quite slow to load
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Ahh that makes sense
Jim Prendergast:do we have 5 people?
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):We do now :-)
Jim Prendergast:oh ok
Anne Aikman-Scalese:sorry to be late
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Welcome "perfect timing actually Anne
Steve Chan:In addition, the work of the Sub Groups is more about organizing and compiling rather than making decisions.
Steve Chan:In agenda box as Robin noted, as well as here:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A__docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_15zDdzlBwLCz5m2sNXui6N6pporbUq-2DlDFEwfh4rKi4A_edit-

3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=KlKP3PFAKhbpg4L-uGZY7rDyGGRPRoUNcC6JgoyXFUU&s=9qOmj7aGTz4fSHLGuNxjacVV48HHto6LJt15Pm-DcUw&e=

Donna Austin, Neustar:Hi All, sorry for being late.

Julie Bisland:Welcome, Donna :)

Donna Austin, Neustar:Where are we at?

Donna Austin, Neustar:Thanks Robin

Justine Chew:Sorry I'm late.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Donna - does the Neustar proposal re next round include all these categories?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Rather a Sea of Green and then Green tinged with a few new ideas as proposed modifications

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Anne, if you mean existing categories, then yes it does.

Donna Austin, Neustar:I don't think we're incorporated Applicant Support applications.

Donna Austin, Neustar:we've

Anne Aikman-Scalese:OK - that is what I thought - So far we have IPC agreement to Neustar proposal but NOT to the portion that moves to First Come First Served Round.

Donna Austin, Neustar:Good to know Anne, thank you.

Steve Chan:Indeed, the entirety of the New Idea might better applied to the Community Applications section?

Donna Austin, Neustar:We hear you Robin. Christa had the same experience earlier in the week.

Justine Chew:line 12 Lemarit - summary in bold should be "Support for keeping 4 defined categories and .Brand ....

Steve Chan:Thanks Justine, that makes sense - changed

Steve Chan:Lines 15, 16, and 17 are identical :)

Jim Prendergast:same pen holder is suspect

Jim Prendergast:I suspect

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@ Staff - the line references Robin is making in Google doc don't appear in the doc that is posted in Adobe. It makes it a bit harder to follow since I am working from home with only one screen.

Steve Chan:Maybe Robin can reference both the Google line and the comment number?

Steve Chan:Currently, we are on comment 11 in c.1

Steve Chan:From the BC

Jim Prendergast:and the doc is unsynched

Steve Chan:Well done Robin, thanks ;)

Anne Aikman-Scalese:SORRY I must type in chat - This needs to be discussed by full working group.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:YES - I think new ideas may go to Parking LOT - Divergence on geo category should be noted as needs to be discussed by full WG

Jim Prendergast:agree with that too

Steve Chan:Rather than full WG (at least at the moment), does WT5 make any sense?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Just a note that we need to get a different color text or ALL CAPS or something for items that need to go to the full WG

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Steve - It think it is both the full WG and Work Track 5 - different players at the table in these groups

Anne Aikman-Scalese:old hand - sorry - will be coming onto the call soon.

Steve Chan:Right - that's why I added "(at least at the moment)"

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@ Steve - I guess I am not sure how Work Track 5 is working with timeline for full WG.

Steve Chan:If it's helpful, there was some confusion about what "referring the topic the full WG" means

Justine Chew:@Steve, same correction for Lemarit summary in line 29

Steve Chan:At the end of the day, everything in these documents can be subject to discussion by the full WG

Steve Chan:When it's been said the the item should be referred to the full WG, it's more about immediatlely pulling up for full WG discussion, b/c it might be of a fundamental or overarching nature

Anne Aikman-Scalese:full WG consideration of geo category seems relevant to 2.2.4. e 1 re public comment on whether or not new categories are needed?

Steve Chan:@Justine, done

Steve Chan:Thanks

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Steve - I am not aware of a category called "Fundamental or Overarching" in relation to referring issues for discussion at the full WG level.

Steve Chan:@Robin, hand from Anne

Justine Chew:"sensitive terms"

Donna Austin, Neustar:Anne, I think you're right in the sense that verified TLDs adopted the GAC advice requirements.

Donna Austin, Neustar:Many registry operators are also abiding by the same GAC advice requirements, but don't consider themselves to be 'verified' TLDs.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Were there not eluigubiluity requirements?

Jim Prendergast:@Anne - not required

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Were there credentials to be verified for .bank for instance? Any credentials for medical professionals?

Donna Austin, Neustar:I believe .bank actively verifies credentials, where as others may required the registrant to warrant that they have the necessary credentials.

Donna Austin, Neustar:I lost my place, where are we?

Jim Prendergast:34

Donna Austin, Neustar:thanks Jim

Justine Chew:Well if each new idea is different then they will need to be considered separately

Jim Prendergast:im not sure how you dont consider them on their own (assuming they are not the same idea)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yes @Justine and Jim, where we can find a cluster or agregation we will note it but ... ...

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@ Jim- wondering if the new ideas in each section can somehow be summarized - but I agree with you it's problematic

Steve Chan:@Justine, already adjusted line 38 :)

Justine Chew:@Steve, you beat me to it. Lol

Jim Prendergast:on that last one (ming)- would be helpful to have specific recommendations on what could be done

Donna Austin, Neustar:Don't we cover this under another topic, ie whether categories should be evaluated or treated differently?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Yes Donna but I'm just not sure whether cross-referencing is appropriate.

Steve Chan:My understanding of the BC comment is that it mostly just echoes the question that the WG itself asked?

Donna Austin, Neustar:It might be a new idea here, but I think it's consistent with other suggestions made in response to other questions.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Send it to SG B

Julie Bisland:The next Sub Group A call: Thursday, 17 January 2019 at 15:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Can we not cover the next 2 lines???

Justine Chew:Yes, or at least double check if comment already in their reply there

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I just wonder whether the full WG needs to consider all comments related to .BRANDS, regardless of Subgroup - in one aggregated document?
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):OK   Bye for now then
Donna Austin, Neustar:Thanks Robin
Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thanks Robin and Cheryl and staff!