

## AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon: (1/16/2019 06:13) Welcome to Day 1 of the EPDP Team F2F held on Wednesday, 16 January 2019 at 13:30 UTC.

Andrea Glandon: (06:14) Wiki Meeting Page: [https://community.icann.org/x/sAn\\_BQ](https://community.icann.org/x/sAn_BQ)

Rafik Dammak: (06:51) good morning

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): (07:33) good morning Rafik

Julf Helsingius: (07:33) Good morning!

CD: (07:35) Hello All especially those participating remotely

Hadia Elminiawi (ALAC): (07:36) Good morning all

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (07:44) Hi Kurt and others

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (07:46) May I respectfully request you to kindly speak into the MIC when talking and also speak clearly and slowly allowing those whose English is not their mother tongue to follow the discussion

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (07:47) perhaps better to say, Whose mother tongue is NOT English

Marika Konings: (07:55) Thank you Emily :-)

Alex Deacon - IPC: (07:55) +1 Emily.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): (07:55) +1 Emily - aim for brevity

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:04) We need to resolve pending issues before focussing on Final Report otherwise we would be obliged to discuss these pending issues at that stage

Matt Serlin (RrSG): (08:05) +1 Marc

Emily Taylor (RrSG): (08:05) Agree with Marc

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:05) +1 to Marc and Emily - focus on necessity should lead to a brief and succinct Final Report

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:07) what does it mean "focus on necessity" what are those necessities?

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:08) The issues and recommendations that we need to address and make, respectively, before the Temporary Specification expires on May 25.

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:08) In my interpretation, at any rate.

Emily Taylor (RrSG): (08:09) I agree with Kristina, and I think it is consistent with Kavouss' earlier comment. We should be looking now to Charter questions which we have not yet addressed, and ensure that we tackle them together so that we have the content we need for the final report.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): (08:11) will the conversation with Ruth over lunch be happening on the record? some remote participants may be interested in listening in

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:12) I thank we all agree with each other but in different language. Yes we must focus on the major issues on which we should reach agreement before going to discuss Final Report which is more or less the editing and coordinating issues

Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:12) +1 James

Emily Taylor (RrSG): (08:13) Really support what James has advocated - for a final report that is brief, and speaks to those who are not deeply immersed in the issues already.

Marika Konings: (08:13) @Ayden - the lunch meeting is basically the legal team sitting together with Ruth for an informal conversation. I don't think the room is set up for recording / remote participation.

Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:14) I also very much support James- the report has to be practical, readable and implementable for the community and public at large

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:14) focussing on necessities almost means resolving pending or contentious issues. Isn't it?

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:21) with apologies, I need to step out briefly

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): (08:25) I request that we stop using the term Picket Fence, or decide on the definition. At the moment it is being used in a multitude of ways and is both confusing and misleading.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:27) Alan+ 1

James Bladel (RrSG): (08:30) We're all going to have to chip in for PTSD counseling for Kurt after this is over.

Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:30) Agreed

James Bladel (RrSG): (08:31) Another side effect: Regardless of the outcome, we'll all be labeled as "experts" on this topic for years to come. Whether we agree or like it, or not.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:33) mes, and then , what you wish to say here? What do you expect we do to be referred as \* Expert"?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:34) Sorry ,I was asking my dear friend James

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:37) Has something happened to the AC room? Was everybody kicked out?

Andrea Glandon: (08:37) Checking on this Amr

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:37) Thanks, Andrea.

Andrea Glandon: (08:37) You're welcome!

Andrea Glandon: (08:39) The internet dropped in the meeting room. Tech is working on it.

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (08:39) seems only remote participant

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:39) Thanks for the update, Andrea.

Andrea Glandon: (08:39) You're welcome!

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:40) Still getting good audio from the AC room.

Andrea Glandon: (08:40) Great!

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:44) Yes.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:44) I can hear you.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:45) But guessing you can't read this. :-)

Andrea Glandon: (08:45) I let Terri know that we, who are on remotely, can hear fine :)

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (08:46) @Andrea is like Ac for VIPs :)

Andrea Glandon: (08:47) Exactly, Rafik! :)

Andrea Glandon: (08:50) Internet is back in the room.

Leon Sanchez: (08:50) Thanks Andrea

Andrea Glandon: (08:51) You're welcome!

Marika Konings: (08:52) Here is the link: <https://community.icann.org/x/U4cWBg>

Marika Konings: (08:52) on this link you can find the public comment review tool as well as the discussion table.

Marika Konings: (08:53) The results of the small team conversations are also posted here: <https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/100534704/Package%20of%20Small%20Team%20Agreements.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1547642913913&api=v2>

Terri Agnew: (08:54) five minutes to discuss ( there may be silence)

Terri Agnew: (09:00) we are back and will begin in a moment

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:02) @David: You seem to be limiting the scope of your question to whether we believe that all public comments have been somehow addressed, but we might have issues with the small team updated language that have nothing to do with the public comments. Should these not also be addressed?

Berry Cobb: (09:02) From the Report: In this document, the term "ICANN Purpose" is used to describe purposes for processing personal data that should be governed by ICANN Org via a Consensus Policy. Note there are additional purposes for processing personal data, which the contracted parties may pursue, such as billing customers, but these are outside of what ICANN and its community should develop policy on or contractually enforce. It does not necessarily mean that such purpose is solely pursued by ICANN Org.

Marika Konings: (09:03) @Amr - are you referring to a situation where a change proposed by a small team may have resulted in new concerns?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:04) @Marika: Yes. These are concerns that I actually raised during the small team discussion.

Andrea Glandon: (09:05) checking on the audio

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (09:05) we lost audio?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:05) Yup. Lost audio on my end.

Kavouss Arasteh: (09:06) what is the suggestion , concrete words

Kavouss Arasteh: (09:06) pls

Andrea Glandon: (09:06) One moment, reconnecting audio

Kavouss Arasteh: (09:06) pls propose change in a concrete manner

Andrea Glandon: (09:07) Audio is back

Berry Cobb: (09:07) @Amr - Caitlin has her card raised to get you in the queue

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:07) Thanks, Berry and Caitlin.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:09) no

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:09) No.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:09) we can't live with Hadia's suggestion

Margie Milam (BC): (09:09) observers are losing audio

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:10) We had no audio for a while, but it's back now.

Andrea Glandon: (09:10) @Margie, I confirm the audio was out for a couple of minutes, it is back now for all.

Margie Milam (BC): (09:10) ok thanks

Andrea Glandon: (09:10) You're welcome!

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:10) I see harm

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:10) I don't want footnote

Leon Sanchez (ICANN Board Liaison): (09:11) What harm do you see Farzi? Asking to raise it in the room

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): (09:13) I am not fond of footnotes either, as I think they can be messy. As Emily said this morning, we should "aim for brevity"

Kavouss Arasteh 2: (09:14) Leon+1

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (09:16) I requested this in Barcelona, but PLEASE can people proposing new text provide it in writing - in the chat is fine.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:16) Proposed language amendment for consideration: Enabling communication with the Registered Name Holder on matters relating to the registration of the Registered Name

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:18) @Marc: +1 on inability to control use of a registered name, nor should we attempt to do this.

Matt Serlin (RrSG): (09:20) huge +1 to Marc!

Mark Svancarek (BC): (09:21) huger +1 to Marc

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:21) I'm all for not editing on the fly, but if nothing will be edited over the next few days, then what purpose is the F2F meant to serve?

Alex Deacon - IPC: (09:26) +1 James.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): (09:27) but Amr was on the small team and objected

Margie Milam (BC): (09:27) +1 James

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:28) Amr objected to that language. I am concerned that instead of arguing over substance I am hearing that we have discussed this so many times we should move on. this was a new language addition

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:28) is someone smoking shisha?

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:28) I hear a very soothing shisha noise

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:28) Yes. I was a member of this small team, and wanted to raise the concern now, as it wasn't communicated to the rest of the EPDP Team.

Terri Agnew: (09:28) @Farzaneh, should be adjusted now (noise)

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:30) thanks Terri, did you take away shisha from them? I iwsh there was a shisha here...

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:31) I think we are done here. unfortunate but done.

Marika Konings: (09:31) @Amr - please note that all the notes as well as recordings and transcripts were shared with the full team for review, so hopefully everyone did have a chance to see the full extent of the discussions that were held.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:31) I don't want to die in a ditch over any reason at all!! :-)

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:32) @Marika: Yes, of course. Only meant in the summaries we're looking at now.

Terri Agnew: (09:34) 5 minutes to review (will be silence)

Terri Agnew: (09:42) gathering back in room

Terri Agnew: (09:45) 5 minutes to review (will be silence)

Terri Agnew: (09:45) \*\*Update 10 minutes to review (will be silence)

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:46) on purpose 4 again?

Marika Konings: (09:47) Purpose 5

Terri Agnew: (09:55) we are starting

Kavouss Arasteh 2 (GAC): (09:56) One possible option could be to still have one purposxe but putting both elements preferred gto in 1 and 2

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:02) That processing of gTLD RD in the compliance context needs to be limited to what is fit-for-purpose was raised in a number of public comments.

Berry Cobb: (10:08) @Amr do you still want to be in the queue?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:09) @Berry: Not thanks. I'm good.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:09) Meant "No". :-)

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:12) I'm certainly in favor of 3 and 4.

Andrea Glandon: (10:12) It appears the room lost internet again.

Andrea Glandon: (10:13) I confirm the meeting room lost internet. They are working on it.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:13) @Alan G.: +1

Andrea Glandon: (10:22) The meeting room internet is back

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:22) Thanks for lettins us know, Andrea.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:22) \*letting

Andrea Glandon: (10:22) You're welcome!

Andrea Glandon: (10:23) 1 minute left for review

Terri Agnew: (10:24) starting

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:27) I think some NCSG comments were taken in to consideration, but did not result in changes to the proposed language.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:31) Just because it's in the bylaws, doesn't mean it needs to be in the purpose.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:32) echo

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:32) echo

Andrea Glandon: (10:32) working on the echo

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:36) which case they are touching the data?

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:40) hmm I can't hear anyone talking

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:40) is it me ...

Andrea Glandon: (10:40) I can hear audio in the AC. Please let me know if you would like a dial out.

Marika Konings: (10:41) Proposed update is: "Operationalize and facilitate the execution of policies for resolution...."

Matt Serlin (RrSG): (10:43) fine with either really at this point

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:43) we don't need execution

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (10:43) Minor wordsmithing suggestion (neutral as to accepted or not): If we're going to have "facilitate the execution", I suggest we replace "execution" with "implementation" (facilitate the implementation of )

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:44) operationalize is fine

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (10:44) we're all more familiar with implementation than execution

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:44) operationalize is all encompassing .

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG): (10:44) I agree Farzi

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): (10:44) My proposal in the small group was Operationalize, policies for resolution of disputes regarding or relating to the registration of domain names.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (10:45) Kristina + 12

Hadia Elminiawi (ALAC): (10:45) @Kristina using implementation instead of execution is fine

Alex Deacon - IPC: (10:45) +1 Kristina - was also thinking implementation would be better than execution. But I'm fine either way.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (10:45) Georgios +1 also

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:46) I agree with implementation too

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:46) yes I can live

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:47) no paranthtical has to be removed as well as the kind of disputes

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:48) no we did not and definitely did not go through TDRP since it's a new addition

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:50) yeah I won't die over this ...

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): (10:51) The workbook needs work.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:54) no Alan, I personally suggested in the beginning to have as opposed to the use of such domain names but I don't agree with the addition of including where policies ...

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:54) with that addition I think the whole paranthtical should go away and be disputers regarding registration of domain names

Terri Agnew: (10:57) 10 minutes to review (will be silence)

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (10:57) so it was 16-20-21?

Marika Konings: (10:57) 16, 19, 20 and 21

Marika Konings: (10:58) you can also see the list in the agenda pod on the right side

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (11:02) can I have a link to small team suggestions

Caitlin Tubergen: (11:06) @Farzaneh - the document is displayed in the room - is that what you are looking for?

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (11:06) yeah I wanted a link I couldn't scroll. it's ok I found it

Terri Agnew: (11:08) we are starting back up

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (11:13) @James: +1

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (11:25) nice pep talk, David

Andrea Glandon: (11:26) Break for lunch. 1 hour

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (11:27) oh this is perfect. violin practice -- remote participation is not that bad.

Andrea Glandon: (11:28) We will reconnect all lines for remote participation shortly before 1:30 local time.

Andrea Glandon: (12:35) Just waiting for everyone to gather back in the room from lunch. We will get started shortly.

Terri Agnew: (12:45) we will be starting in a moment

Terri Agnew: (12:49) update: we will begin in 11 minutes

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (12:56) I do not follow such expression , Sorry for my ignorance

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (12:56) Pls kindly elaborate what do you mean by this referncde

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (12:57) I mean by" oh this is perfect. violin practice "-

Berry Cobb: (13:01) We should be starting in 5 min. Apologies for the delay.

Terri Agnew: (13:07) we will begin in 3 minutes

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (13:08) I understood that the expression is used for those playin Violin, I unfortunately do not play any musical instrument

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (13:09) correction, playing and NOT playin

Milton Mueller: (13:10) playa

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (13:10) yes Milton tks for those play is much better. Happy new year Milton

Terri Agnew: (13:12) we are begining

Terri Agnew: (13:16) 10 minutes to review (will be silence)

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (13:26) Happy New Yeara Kavouss, have you made any resolutions?

Terri Agnew: (13:26) we are starting

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (13:28) This is Caitlin's note after team A meeting : Proposed Small Team Approach: The Small Team considered comments by URS and UDRP Providers (PCRT #9-10), and believe they merit further discussion with the plenary team. Please see PCRT comments 9 and 10 for further detail. Regarding comments about access to registration data for the purpose of assessing the merits of a UDRP Complaint (3, 5, 8, 11 of PCRT): The Small Team proposes to preserve these comments for the access discussion in Phase 2 - at which point the EPDP Team can decide if the concerns are appropriately within scope, and if so, how to address the concerns.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (13:30) it's not the noncommercial stakeholder group only

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (13:30) we agreed on that in small team a

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (13:38) May I respond to Alan W's point?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (13:39) Agree with Alan G.

Andrea Glandon: (13:40) working on the echo

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (13:41) we also (long ago) mentioned that where URS and UDRP is about access has to be discussed in phase 2

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (13:42) so I suggest disclosure of registrant to the complainant in UDRP and URS be discussed then

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (13:42) (in phase 2)

Terri Agnew: (13:45) 10 minutes to review (will be silence)

Caitlin Tubergen: (13:51) Based on the discussion of Recommendation 15, here is a proposal for updated language for Recommendation 15: The EPDP Team recommends that for the new policy on gTLD registration data, therequirements of the Temporary Specification are maintained in relation to URS and UDRP, with the exception of the specific sections and changes noted below, until such time as these are superseded by recommendations from the RPMs PDPWG (if any).

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (13:55) If I'm understanding this correctly, then the data controllers and processors involved are perfectly fine with having UDRP providers/examiners disclose RNH data to complainants without even holding a discussion on the legitimate purpose or legal basis involved?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (13:55) How is this going to be explained to the data subject/RNH?

Terri Agnew: (13:57) update; taking a 10 minute break

Terri Agnew: (14:08) we are back

Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison) 2: (14:43) @Thomas, if analyses have been performed, it would be great if you could point us to it so that we can review. Thanks.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (14:43) Why don't we keep the updated language and add: subject to legal advice

farzaneh badii (NCSG): (14:46) we should put this issue into its grave even! bed is not enough

Terri Agnew: (14:48) 10 minute break (will be silence)

Terri Agnew: (14:59) we are starting

Terri Agnew: (15:03) 5 minutes to review (will be silence)

Terri Agnew: (15:08) Update: 3 additional minutes to review (will be silence)

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (15:09) Will need to drop off of the rest of today's meeting. Getting late here. Good luck to all with the rest of the day.

Margie Milam: (15:11) Thanks for being on Amr!

Terri Agnew: (15:12) we are starting back up

rafik dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (15:13) thanks Territ

rafik dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (15:14) Terri :)

Terri Agnew: (15:14) most welcome

Diane Plaut (IPC): (15:36) +1Alan G

Terri Agnew: (15:40) 5 minutes to review (will be silence)

Marika Konings: (15:42) These are posted here: <https://community.icann.org/x/U4cWBg>

Terri Agnew: (15:46) we are starting

Alex Deacon - IPC: (15:50) The BC suggested update for 7 is - "Enabling registrars and registry operators to confirm that a registered name holder meets registration policy eligibility criteria required by the registry operator."

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (15:59) Having heard the explanation ,I suggest to add "should "before meets in deleting " s"

Kavouss Arasteh: (16:00) Also deleting " optional"

Alex Deacon - IPC: (16:02) Dumb question - doesn't the voluntary obligation made by the Registry become a requirement for the Registrant?

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): (16:03) Emily is right: as soon as those are becoming part of the agreement they become compulsory for the registrant

Emily Taylor (RrSG): (16:03) ...(thanks Georgios)... and also they become part of the Registry Agreement between ICANN and the Registry

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (16:10) Rec 15

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (16:10) The EPDP recommends that, except as provided below, for the new policy on gTLD registration data, the requirements of the Temp Spec are maintained in relation to URS and UDRP until such time as these are superseded by recommendations from the rpMs PDP WG and/or policies from the EPDP regarding disclosure: (i) Revise the second sentence of Section 1.2 of Appendix D to "In such an event, Complainant may file a [complaint against an unidentified Respondent] and the Provider shall provide [the Complainant with the] relevant contact details fo the Registered Name HOlder after being presented with a [complaint against an unidentified Respondent]."

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (16:10) and (ii) Revise the second sentence of Section 1.2 of Appendix E to "In such an event, Complainant may file a [complaint against an unidentified Respondent] and the Provider shall provide [the Complainant with the] relevant contact details of the Registered Name Holder after being presented with a [complaint against an unidentified Respondent]."

Terri Agnew: (16:17) 5 minutes to review (will be silence)

Terri Agnew: (16:21) we are starting back up

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison) 2: (16:28) thanks all