SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report Plenary Call #59 31 January 2019 – 15:00 – 16:00 UTC

Review Team Members

Observers

Ram Krishna Pariyar, Russ Housley, Norm Ritchie, Eric Osterweil, Noorul Ameen, Denise Michel, Scott None

McCormick, KC Claffy, Kerry-Ann Barrett, Boban Krsic CANN Org

Jennifer Bryce, Brenda Brewer, Negar Farzinnia

Apologies

Jabhera Matogoro, Alain Aina, Kaveh Ranjbar, Laurin Weissinger, Naveed Bin Rais, Žarko Kecić

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: https://community.icann.org/x/Swf_BQ.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance recorded above. No SOI updates provided.

2. Future challenges and ICANN SSR questions – any edits?

Russ asked team members to share any additional questions or edits to the <u>Google doc</u> by the end of the day.

<u>Action item</u>: Staff to share ICANN SSR and Future Challenges questions with SMEs and obtain answers, track status on the wiki.

3. Workstream volunteers: Any additional volunteer to the topics

Russ reminded RT members of the volunteers table, and encouraged RT members to volunteer for any topics today if they had not yet done so.

4. Collecting questions for DNS SSR

Team members agreed that some more time was needed to develop questions for this workstream.

<u>Action item</u>: RT members to add questions to the DNS SSR workstream by EOD Tuesday 5 February.

5. Approval of work plan

One minor edit was made (end date of line item 45 adjusted) during the call. Denise flagged additional edits she suggested to make, and will send these to the list.

Action item: Denise to send a proposed edit to the workplan to the list.

 6. AOB Russ updated the team that he had had various conversations with team members during the LA meeting as to who at ICANN org he should send a note to to flag the importance of receiving answers to questions in a timely manner. Russ suggested sending an informal note David Conrad, Denise suggested the note should be elevated given that the questions cover multiple departments. 7. Confirm action items / decisions reached 			
Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes			