CLAUDIA RUIZ:	We can now begin the official reporting and interpretation of this call. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. Welcome to the At-Large Leadership Team ALT Plus monthly call on Monday, the 10th of December, 2018 at 1700 UTC. On the call today we have, Maureen Hilyard, Holly Raiche, John Laprise, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Barrack Otieno, Andrei Kolesnikov, Yrjo Lansipuro, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Eduaro Diaz, Sergio Salinas Porto, Alberto Soto, Glenn McKnight, and Judith Hellerstein.
	On the Spanish channel we have Sergio Salinas Porto, and we have received apologies from Sébastien Bachollet, Ricardo Holmquist, and Sylvia Vivanco from staff. From Staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Gisella Gruber, Evin Erdogdu, and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management. And, we also have Yesim Nazlar. Our Interpreters for today are Veronica and Claudia.
	If I could please remind everyone to state your name before speaking for transcription purposes, and also for the interpreters to identify you on the other language channels, and to please mute your lines when not speaking. You can mute with *6 and unmute *7. Thank you, and with this, I turn over to you, Maureen.
MAUREEN HILAYARD:	Thank you very much, Claudia. I'm just going to say welcome everyone, first of all, would like to look at the agenda and if there's an agenda, Olivier, and most of it is really up to date, so I'm hoping this meeting won't be too long. And, if anyone has anything else that they'd like to

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

add to it, please speak now or forever hold your peace. Thank you, okay, so we'll go with what we've got. And yes, we will start off with our ALAC Policy activities, with Evin, initially.

EVIN ERDOGDU: Thank you. This is Evin speaking. As we can see on the agenda, there is two statements recently ratified by the ALAC. The draft final report of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee review, SSAC2. And the Registration Directory Service RDS WHOIS2 review team draft report of recommendations. And, there are three public comments currently that have not been totally firmly decided upon yet by the ALAC or CPWG as to whether they would like to comment or anyone would like to be a penholder. Those three are; the first being, proposed consensus policy on protection for certain Red Cross and Red Crescent names and all generic top-level domains. This public comment ends on the 14th of December, I would not.

The second is; the policy status reports inter-registrar transfer policy by IRP, that closes on the 24th of December, which is Christmas for some. And the third is; application for new uniform domain name dispute resolution policy, UDRP dispute resolution services provider, which closes after the New Year on the 4th of January. Those three have yet to have a penholder express interest, so they are to be confirmed status. Otherwise, there are current statements being developed by the ALAC. I'll just run through the list which is on the agenda.

The first is; draft PTI and IANA FY20 operating plan and budget, this was worked on previously and after the FBSC call last week, this statement

has been updated and will be resubmitted. And the next two comments on the list are closing tomorrow. These two statements have been more or less finalized, but are waiting on final feedback from the community. The first being competition discussed and consumer choice review team, CCT final report and recommendations. And, the second is; initial report of the new gTLD Auction proceeds cross community working group. And then the third that's closing very soon is closing on Wednesday the 12th, that also has a draft posted to the Wiki that's quite close to being finalized. The supplemental initial report on the new gTLD subsequent procedures policy development process, overarching issues and Work Track one through four.

So then, moving on, before the end of the year we have one final public comment that the ALAC is developing a statement on, Alan Greenberg and Hadia Elminiawi are penholders for the initial report of the expedited policy development process; EPDP, on the temporary specification for gTLD registration data team. And to note, this public comment has a specific new format, a new Google form for submission, and we'll be discussing later this week on the CPWG as well, any feedback you may have on this form.

Then, the final two comments, Satish Babu has offered to comment alongside Edmond Chung on the maximal starting repertoire version forms, MSR4, for route zone label generation rules. I believe this will also be on the CPWG agenda to discuss whether or not it will be an ALAC statement, or if you will just submit comments as an individual.

Then, recently opened public comment, which closes on the 22nd of January next year is on Work Track 5 on geographic names at the top

level.   Supplemental initial report of the new gTLD, as subsequent procedures, policy development process. With that, I'll turn it over to Olivier, or Jonathan if they would like to comment on policy, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I don't see Jonathan's name, is he on the same bridge? I know that Olivier has just entered, so I know it's sort of like on the hop here, Olivier, but are there any comments that you'd like to make on what's happening in the CPWG?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thanks very much, Maureen, Olivier Crepin-Leblond speaking. So, as you can see, there's a lot going on at the moment, and it's been going on for quite a while. The CPWG is, I think, holding on to things. I would really suggest that you have a look at the first draft that has been put down, both before the two most important ones at the moment, being the subsequent procedures, and the second one being the expedited PDP. So, these are really the ones that you should pay great attention to this week.

As I said, there's also a lot of others that are also important, the consumer choice is super important, the auction proceeds is also upon us, it's like a perfect storm; a lot of things going on at the same time, so pick the one that you look at and look at them closely, and then at the very last moment basically to get some feedback on them, because these are all going to be sent through very soon and very quickly.

I'm not going to bother you with the new Google form response thing, we're going to discuss this first in the CPWG and then we'll get back to you on that, but I do have a few concerns about processes or maybe us having to change our processes once that happens, because it's quite likely that you'll end up with some sort of a Google form instead of a free flow writing. But that we'll first discuss in the CPWG. I'm happy to answer any questions that you might have on any of the topics that are there on the moment on our screen. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, do we have any questions? Judith?

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: What happens, I know the CPWG likes to approve beforehand, but some of these, the comments are due before the Wednesday meeting, it has often been taken out by the EPDP, and so I just was curious about that?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Judith. I do not know myself what the process will be for those that are very close to this. Maureen might have a response for that, because as you said, there are several that we kind of talked, that are just 24 hours or 48 hours away, and I don't think that the CPWG would be proceeding with a consensus on their first. My understanding is that the version which is on the Wiki will be presented, drafted up and presented over to the public comment process, and the ratification

of it, ALAC ratification of it will take place afterwards, but I turn to Maureen with regards to the process of the ALAC concerning this.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Olivier. It is a concern that some of the lesser popular activities might get a little bit sidetracked, and I think for today as Olivier pointed out, there were some really important issues that have to get noted during the CPWG and discussed, and that what happens with the others is that they will, we will continue to focus people on the public comment and the additions are made right up until the end, as we received this morning, for example, for the auction close date, it closes tomorrow.

But, it's good to know that people are still looking at it, and this is as much as we can do because as long as we get some okays from people from the community that they're okay with it, we'll take that as well. But I guess in a way I can see Judith's concern, for us it's important that those statements, at least in the CPWG, and that it is noted and recorded and has passed through that At-Large process. I see Cheryl's got her hand up as well.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: In the dim, dark distant past when the At-Large community has been sufficiently motivated on a topic, and the leadings of the ALAC have been out of sync or more sadly, when the ALAC frankly might be able to barely function, sorry for those who are actually operating or working on anything conscientiously, and yes, I know it happened way back in the dim, distant past.

It wasn't at all uncommon for the final edits of things to go up to the very last minute for what was effectively then the leadership, in other words, the chair, at times to say it was reasonably close to what, in that case, I, believed was the consensus we would be getting in the ALAC on a topic and we would push the public commenting on time, and say it was subject to ALAC ratification.

That became a more formalized thing with the ALAC not infrequently then, as it actually got working more effectively as a unit, so putting in things for public comment, sometimes pending ratification at a meeting that happened shortly after public comment closed, and occasionally we did also ask for an extension of time which in many cases was granted.

It's not at all uncommon, in fact I would suggest almost more common than it should be for the ALAC to make a public comment still subject to ratification, so I don't think this is going to cause a great deal more concern to those on the other side who receive public comments from the ALAC, because it doesn't seem to me to be anything unusual.

 What does concern me I suppose is that the ALAC get used to actually working harder, being more diligent, and watching everything that is going on in their public comment development for their consideration. So, it's a point well made as a concern, Judith, because the ALAC will have to read its e-mails and look at its Wiki and see what developments and changes are happening in the now more community driven, which is what it should be, public comment views, thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you Cheryl, and point taken as well. Are there any other questions related to that, apart from some of you- as Olivier has mentioned, trying to get people more involved in those public comments is really important. And, as noted there, adjusting the DSD auction proceeds and the others that really have to go out tomorrow, and it's important that we get a few more people for the making- having some input would be really good. Cheryl, another word?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Just to say that if the timing, this is bunched up because it's in [inaudible], if the timings are better managed throughout our 29 things, and the new ALAC, because it is a new ALAC, and don't get used to working in its own way more effectively and professionally on those things, this shouldn't be as much of an issue as it is now, that's all. I just didn't want to leave it with a smug grumbling about ALAC actually working, which though I should have done, but really had in the past, should have been seen as an ongoing issue. I think it's one that [inaudible] solutions. Thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I think it's just, when there are people who are actually working on these statements and putting a lot of effort in, it's really good when we actually have other people contributing a comment to acknowledge that the work is being done, and they're also taking note of this, and contributing to it in some way. Any other questions or queries? Okay, Alberto.

ALBERTO SOTO:

I think that in this meeting no one volunteered, so perhaps I think lots of people are doing lots of things. I think that I may also look for people at the ALAC or At-Large to coordinate and find coordinator that at least there is one person per comment. I can give myself to work with all the groups, but at least to find somebody with not as much rich topics to have people contributing to each comment, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Alberto. I think we all just do what we can in the areas that are of interest or that we actually have some expertise in. Thank you. Okay, so it looks like we may have covered everything, I think everyone is pretty much knows what they have to do and where they can help out, that's really great.

Let's move on to the next item therefore; reports and discussions with At-Large Trust leaders. As we normally do, as we normally don't, we don't go through everybody's reports, but if there is anyone who has anything of import, they may like to raise it now with their hand?

But, one thing I do want to raise at this particular point in time, just a small development that has actually came about with regards to meeting in Barcelona. And, it followed on from a discussion that I had with Sidney Perrin who is the new chair of the NCSG, and we were having a conversation and she indicated she was very keen to follow on from the great work that she felt that Tatiana and Olivier were doing, with regards to bringing forth a further understanding, especially amongst newcomers with regards to what At-Large and what the NCSC

or NCSG were doing. And, those have always been very popular and very exciting decisions.

And she wanted to know if we would be interested in exchanging liaisons, but with a small, "l," liaison, not a full position, it's an informal role, this just as Tatiana has said, and she was the one who was actually suggestive as the NCSG liaison. And, if we were interested in including someone from At-Large into their policy discussions and other interest areas, perhaps looking at developing a project between the two groups, probably to be incorporated into what is being done now, or to enhance it, or something separate.

It's something that we, Stephanie, myself and Tatiana and the person that I have recommended is John Laprise, who, when she mentioned policies from the ALAC as they share a policy. I thought he was most appropriate person to put into this role. And he already had some discussions with work anyway, in context.

So, this is something that is, again, a new role, but it isn't a funded role or anything like that, it's just something that we, it is good that we sort of establish and if you're interested in making it formal, we'll see how it goes and see what interesting sort of situations may come out of it. As we have already established through the activities, the joint activities that Tatiana and Olivier have already established, that this could be a planning committee, which we would also include Olivier into it anyway, but that we can look at how we can enhance that situation between At-Large and NCSG.

So, it's a relationship building sort of exercise, and as Holly has mentioned, it certainly doesn't intrude on Cheryl's role as the liaison with the GNSO, which is something that is completely different. This is just establishing a closer relationship between us and the NCSG, and thank you, Satish, I'm sure that John would appreciate some support.

DANIEL NANGHACA: Can I have the floor please? Just following up on the issue of collaboration between At-Large and NCSG, I would like to inquire what the kind of follow-up of the outcome of that meeting that the NCSG had requested for, in that [inaudible] actually, which one of the action items that was [inaudible] in Barcelona or it's a new collaboration that is coming up? Because previously I had this collaboration, but when basically that call, or the meeting that was requested came up, [inaudible] without no conclusive decision, thank you. Back to you, Maureen.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Daniel. Are there any other comments, or are there any liaison reports? One of the things that I will be reporting on later is to do with some changes that we've made to the gateway, which people probably don't know about, but we will be introducing that to you. And, reports are actually, there's a report page, and that's actually going to be, I will actually be explaining how that is going to make it easier for people to access and read some of the reports that are actually being written by our liaisons, working groups, and the RALO chairs.

So, do we have any other questions or queries from anyone? Was there a question, sorry, the question about the- any other?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Maureen, did you have a question about the NCSG?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Did I miss it?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: You had discussion as to whether or not John was the best choice, it's Cheryl for the record, whether Olivier, who apparently is actually in this role informally, should be stepping up to it, and whether that may be an awkward conversation I suppose is what we're seeing raised, and my hand is up for a different matter.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah, thank you. So, the discussion that Stephanie and I had was based around the policy, she actually asked for someone who might be able to share some, the ALAC, someone from the ALAC actually asked for to share with the policy team. And I totally understand that the suggestion of Olivier, but when we were talking about projects, and I recommended John because he is the Vice Chair of policy on the ALAC, and that was the only reason, not wanting to intrude on the work that Olivier had already done, but Cheryl, you're first in the queue.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thanks, Maureen. I know, I'm typing a brief non-report, I was busy interacting with all the other interactions that were going on, and I kept having to stop typing what I wanted to. So, the first thing on this. I just put my hand up to react to the, I think you said, I can't remember what words you used to describe the page. But I gather there is going to be, or has been the beginning, if not more work done on improving how people find GNSO, or any other liaison report. I raised, at the last meeting, how horrified I have continued to be over the years as to how pathetically few people ever bothered to look at the report pages, and there are statistics, and ha-ha-ha, I collect them.

So, I know who exactly has ever looked at my page and how many times and in what year, so anything that improves that would be welcome. But, I'm also wanting to just mention while you had that topic raised, that there are still pages that if one searches within the confluence Wiki you end up on, and there are old, or should be, archives, or more to the point, become sub-pages of the access pages, so you can end up if you were to type in a search parameter for example, GNSO Liaison report, you can end up on the earliest of the pages that Alan used to use, and in these, Alan used to cross, in his later years, so he was doing early to a different page anyway.

So, we need to pick up on those issues as well, otherwise we could have people, if they were bothering to look, but using search parameters, not the links we provide, we'd keep ending up in spaces that look like nothing has happened since 2011. Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Cheryl. Actually, I think later on, when we discuss the report pages, it may make it a little bit easier to actually read the reports. Olivier?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I just wanted to say, I'm happy to continue helping out with the joint capacity building session between the NCSG or NCUC, whichever one of those two and the ALAC is concerned, or you rather, or whichever RALO that wants to lead in the region wherever we are. But I really have no cycles for more liaison work, or other things like the policy and things like that, so I'm absolutely happy that John is able to take on a more formal role of this, and I welcome it, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you very much, Olivier, I appreciate that. Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I know my hand went back up again, sorry.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, thank you. And Yrjo has mentioned that he's in discussions with Anna and the GAC, and that he's out for some suggestions for the agenda items for code base, so that would be, that's something else that we certainly do need to look at, and that's the agenda item 4 for [inaudible] really.

Okay, so how about -- are we going to have the ECDP update? I know that neither Alan or Hadia are actually here. So, is that not going to happen?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: No.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Eduardo?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Hello, I wanted to say a few words about NARALO, you know, can I do that?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yeah, sure.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay. I just wanted to mention that we, during our last call, we added a couple of members to our membership. Also that we are working with our global engagement, Chris Mondini and Joe Catapano representatives, with a couple of universities so we can get students to work on ICANN policy, but at the same time they get some kind of credit back in their stories, so we will see a development on that next year, we're talking with a couple of universities to do that.

And I also want to mention that in the region, we had four ICANN 63 readouts, one in ISOC San Francisco, one in ISOC New York, one in the Telecommunities in Canada, which Marita Moll coordinated, and we made the first one in Spanish just a few days ago. So, they were all very successful, and that's nice to see. That's my report, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Eduardo, good to hear. Any others? Okay, and I'm assuming therefore that the EPDP report, unless someone else has got something that they'd like to say about it, Holly? I noticed that Cheryl isn't on the call.

HOLLY RAICHE: Nothing further to add, really.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, all right. There will be reporting, no doubt at the CCWG meeting, I guess. So, we've still got 15 minutes, so that's cool. Did you want to say something? No, anyone? Okay, now we're going to move onto the number 6, which is, reports governance and working groups pages. Now, over the last week or so, a couple of weeks, Heidi, Evin and I have been working on these pages, which are connected to a gateway.

Now, I'm not quite sure whether you've actually noticed when you've been in the dashboard, that on the left hand side of the page, there is usually a purple box that is called the, "At-Large Gateway," and it has on it a list of topics that are sort of like what we're trying to do is to make it easier for people to access information on the website. So, if you go

into the dashboard and get into the At-Large Advisory Committee or some ALAC entitled page, there should be an At-Large gateway purple box on the top left-hand corner. And, on this gateway, there was an access to the At-Large website to the ALAC page, to the RALO page, and a section on At-Large governance.

So, if Heidi put in the link for example to the reports page, which is the one that we also want to look at, but what we've done, we put all the reports, and just the reports that people are supposed to be, the ones who are supposed to be writing reports on, on their activities, and so I thought that if it's all on one page, and people could just click through to actually get the updated version on.

So, if you're on that page, and you click, if you're on the At-Large reports page, for example, and you will see those gateways on the left-hand side, really, these are all the reports that are supposed to be reported on, on a monthly basis, and I don't think anyone is expecting a novel, all we want is sort of bullet-pointed outcomes of your particular activity. If you're on the page, and you click onto the finance and budget sub-committee, which we just recently had a meeting on the 3rd of December, last week, and my committee of course. And really, I used the attendees and apologies from the transcripts, the transcripts and the main items of the people who were the key contributors to this meeting, and the outcomes.

The reason why I've actually done, and raised this particular item is that the main purpose of our meeting that day was too look at the additional budget request. And so, because this was the first meeting of the finance and budget committee, it gave us an opportunity first of all to

get a brief overview of the ICANN FI20 operating plan and budget, which we'd actually heard before, but it was a refresher for us.

And then, the second part was to do with the additional budget request, and what I list in there, for example, the At-Large FI20 budget development workspace is an important page for anyone who is actually going to be doing- I know this is probably focusing more on the RALO tiers, is that that's an important workspace to be looking at because it actually does contain the requirements of the budget request.

But I also put in there the team plate, so you can access the team plate directly, and also to remind you that the budget requests are due by January the 4th, that's to us, so we can actually go through our selection process, and then we've got to get it to the finance committee, ICANN finance committee by the 21st.

So, I think that this is just a brief demonstration as to, for the working groups themselves, for example, the transcripts come out within five days, so there's information that you can get from that. But I think the important thing is the outcome, the purpose of the meeting, there should be some sort of reason that actually comes out with an outcome or an action item or something that is included in there. Again, it depends on what the working group does and what information you want to present.

One of the important things is that we, in our discussions that we had, was that we've always said that it's really important that people, like, in the 3PWG for example, you've got Jonathan and Olivier working their

butts of on in that particular group every week, and it's really hard to keep track of what the discussions and the decisions that actually come when you're actually running a meeting. And, we have, and it's part of our At-Large review implementation plan was to actually have someone who was dedicated to policy, the policy working group to provide support, and we are looking at Evin providing that, just highlighting high-level decisions and key points that are mentioned within the CPWG. So that a record can be kept of some of the important issues that come out of a CPWG meeting.

Similarly, Heidi has also offered to do the same for the Outreach and Engagement meetings, which should also be important issues that arise out of that meeting. And, if we can have those, if we can have those key points listed and reported somewhere, it just means that someone can go into a CPWG meeting report area, and find out actually what topics were discussed and what important issues may have arisen out of that discussion.

That's the reporting page, so the reporting page means that you can to go any of- sorry, I think that this is- people are writing reports, and nobody is reading them, and they're writing reports because it's important, it's supposed to express what impacts At-Large from within that particular working group, or liaison report, or within the RALO reports as well. I noticed that some of the RALO reports are a bit low on content, but perhaps we can start fresh with our new year and start getting some of those reports done.

Again too, the gateway is supposed to be a one-stop shop for accessing the reports, if you have a look through the working groups, the working

group thing is, what we're actually trying to do is to get all the working, the active working groups sort of listed on that page, so that when you click onto a working group, you're accessing the most recent, updated meeting, either a meeting request, or if it's just finished there will be the previous meeting. But that should give you easy access to the next working group meeting. And of course, the At-Large governance section is a section where things like bylaws, rules and procedure for the ALAC, but also key documents for each of the RALO's, and that's like MOU, who the current leaders are, etcetera, etcetera.

So, each RALO of course has their own MOU's and their own procedures, so it's important that it's a governance record, it's sort of like anything that you think is important that needs to be there, please let us know and we will include it. Any information that you think is important for ALAC, please let us know and we'll include that into that section as well.

Are there any questions? It's all trying to make it easier, the communications to be easier to access, and this is a rushed overview, I'd like you to take your time and go through those sections, and if there's anything that you think needs to be added, please let us know because we'd like to make sure that what people need is actually on these documents.

Okay, Cheryl? Cheryl, you didn't- oh, okay. You haven't got your mute on that, have you? Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Sorry, I was un-muting, really just to make sure, it doesn't look like you're running a monologue in the transcripts, because there's lots of compliments to this going on in the chat. But I just wanted to, on the record, the oral record, say what an excellent step forward this is, a breath of fresh air from many of our points of view it seems, especially mine. There's already a couple of good ideas of what could be added, at least in the governance section from a couple of us, which if I may make a plea from a historical perspective, it could also include the past leadership and perhaps some of the links to any archival early documents for example.

There is, from the finance and budget sub-committee point of view, it's fascinating history which we will lose, which could be useful leverage in some future debate, or we could lose, I should say. If my memory fails or my hard drive for example, that could be the only place you might find them. It could be useful in some future debate with chief financial officer or whatever. But great work, good to see it happening, and hopefully things just continue to, not recreate a micro-Wiki that has a sensible way forward to get the important parts of the treat, thanks.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Cheryl, and thank you everyone for your compliments. The whole point is to make it easier for people to access the information that we need, and I mean, we have all experienced that within our Wiki, and there is so much information on the Wiki, and we just wanted to consolidate those things that are current, and that we need to be able to access easily, and sort of work in progress, and I really do thank Evin and Heidi for the fantastic work that they have done, and have put it

together, and it's really good. And, the ALAC History Webpage, that's right, we need to certainly have that there.

Anyone can just feel free to go through that at your leisure, and so that we can move on. Just going through, for example, at the moment, with regards to the At-Large review implementation plan, we recently have completed the final implementation plan, and Cheryl, can you just give us a brief update on what happens next?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I was going to say, Heidi could probably do this part of it as well. I can take you through some of those, and she can pick up on the gory details. There is a staff document, which I believe Heidi is in charge of, but no disparagement on any of our staff, it should belong to At-Large, not some other part of the ICANN.org. And that accompanies our reports now to the next meeting of the organizational effectiveness committee, and you know, they tick a box, "Gee, great, that was handed in a little bit before time," but they may also wish to ask some clarifying questions, make some comments back, summon you perhaps, Maureen, to respond to their meeting or the future meeting, that is totally up to the organizational effectiveness committee.

That said, this has not been a hiatus for our work, we can take a small, deep breath, but the actual getting onto the implementation, the doing of the original budget requests that are related to the implementation of the solutions to the issues that were recognized, all of that had to continue and had to continue in a timely manner, and will be continuing just from, if not a December meeting, an early January one, immediate to the tone of Maureen. I see Heidi's hand up, and she'll fill in all the lumps of stuff I've missed.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, thank you. Heidi, any additional?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you Maureen and thank you, Cheryl. From my side, and that is the next step, because now that the [inaudible] has approved and the ALAC has endorsed the first accommodation plans, my role is to prepare the board report that is just about finished, that will now be sent to legal to do the review of that. It will then be sent to the organizational effectiveness committee of the board, likely for their meeting, their face-to-face meeting now expected at the end of January in Los Angeles. I will likely also be at that meeting with them to answer any questions that they might have.

But also, as Cheryl noted, you'll see in the milestone report I put a link to that in the chat, the first priority stage is now ongoing; it starts in December, and we are now on the 10th, so we've had some time to breathe, and now it is definitely time to move ahead. So, Maureen, I'll give the floor back to you on how and when we're going to start doing that.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I just wanted people to know that the process is still in train, that all the hard work that they contributed towards the review is moving ahead, and yeah, we've got things to do. I think that, for example, there are things that we are working on already with regards to the implementation of plans, but we do have to structure it a little bit more

with regards to the work so you're not bottlenecking everything up together. So, that is something that we need to probably get the group together again to actually have a look at how we go about implementing that, how we go about actually putting these changes that we have actually suggested into play. So, keep your ears peeled, we will let you know when the next meeting will be.

It's pretty tight at the moment, a very busy time. We might be looking beginning of January or something to look at, but probably get a small group starting to look at some initial planning and so that we are ready to go at the beginning of January. Okay, are there any questions or queries related to that theme?

Okay, can we move to number 8; next steps for ATLAS 3 with me and Olivier. We had an organizational meeting last week, and one of the key things that we are working on at the moment are goals and objectives for the two groups. The first one to look at what we do is what we currently call, "The Delegates, The Invitees," the people who will be coming, and looking at sales and objectives for that particular committee. It's been my responsibility, and I'm starting to put together a few goals and objectives that are now to go back to the organization committee to look at, and Olivier too is looking at his side of things as well.

With regards to the, what I'm starting to call the leadership development committee, because we are looking at, that's going to be a key focus for us, and we did suggest in the organizational committee meeting that delegates seem not the most appropriate word. But, as far as my leadership development committee is concerned, I'm looking

at their roles in relation to developing the criteria for selection, developing, appointing a selection committee that will not be the same as the people who have developed the criteria. We were looking at who might choose for that. So, that's one of the objectives of the group that finally gets chosen for the committee.

And the preparatory program that the invitees will be involved in, mentorship, and that they have a development policy focus. So, these are some of the things that I'm including into the committee role. I have to say that we did get a lot of applications for both of these committees, and some, I think that one of the things we decided, I think there were about 20, 15 people who actually said they wanted to be in, but we've already got large numbers in both already, so we'll probably going to ask these people to make a choice, but there's more on that later.

So, that's just an update of where we're at, nothing set in concrete yet at the moment. But Olivier will be able to tell you more about what he's planning for the program committee.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much, Maureen. I have nothing to report at the moment. I've been extremely busy the past two weeks, so it's been very difficult to add anything to what you have said. I think the process forward is fine, I note that you're defining the overall goal, which I think we discussed in quite some detail in our last call, so that is quite clear in everyone's mind, and the objectives for each one of the groups obviously has to be very clear and forward. The one on the program

committee is the one that will effectively be producing the overall program, as its name implies.

And, there are a number of slots that we can play with, so that program doesn't only include the slots during the meeting, but also the whole process by which to prepare those people that will be selected at some point, and those people that might not be selected but that are working towards getting selected to proceed forward.

So, we're looking at the capacity building sessions that would start in January, looking at the whole process of online courses, etcetera. This we have to basically have that ready by the time this starts over in January. Yet, the first stage will be to have a look at what's on offer, have a look at what might need to be developed as time goes on, and then proceed forward. That's all, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Olivier. Yes, Judith mentioned if you did an AI, would you be notified if you were on the committee, and this is one of the reasons we said we sort of, sure, we've got the people who volunteered, but before we can actually select, choose who are going to be members, we want to make sure that the goals and objectives of the group has been established, so that when we're choosing the people to actually be the members that we are sure that they will be able to meet those objectives, and also those roles.

Okay, I have you, Tijani, I think your hand is up?

TIJANI BEN JEMMA: Thank you very much, Maureen. What is the rule of the process of selecting people on both committees? You have a lot of debate, so how will you select the members of the committee? Thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, well, we haven't especially come up with that process. We haven't developed that process yet. As I said, we're actually getting the goals and objectives for each of the groups first, and we're working our way through that, but we're very interested in the interest that has been shown by the community in being on those committees, but yeah, we probably could have left it for a little bit longer to get those notifications, but we want to get understanding things like sovereign objectives and process things before we actually start making those further decisions. Okay?

TIJANI BEN JEMMA: Thank you very much.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. Are there any other questions or queries, because this is really just, this was just an update of where we're at. We haven't selected and have much to say before, but we are moving forward on it, and we're getting those important things done first before we move onto doing any selections or anything like that.

Okay, so the next is a discussion about ICANN 64, and we're starting to put together a team of people who are going to be working on the

program, so many that I do know, but Gisella will have a little bit more information to give to us about that.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you, Maureen. As it stands now, thank you, yes, we've got the planning committee together.   Thank you everyone who has volunteered to join us on the journey to Coby. We will be, the manning list has just been set up, so you will now, those who have joined the planning committee will be getting an e-mail just with the deadlines approaching very fast.   The block schedule, the ICANN 64 block schedule, which we'll be working from as well as a draft schedule for At-Large, and various other meetings that we need to start scheduling.

The time commitment which was questioned from one of the members was, sorry, the question from one of the members was, what the time commitment was? And on this planning committee, we are going to try to have a call- well, we will have a call before the holiday season, we're going to try and have a call this week if possible, if not early next week to run through the schedule, to run through the main points, the main meetings that we have to schedule, the ones that are already on our schedule, and then the work that needs to be done, which I know we've got the holiday season, but the meetings form which I complete with our meetings start on the 7th of January, but it does run for two weeks.

So, the first two weeks back at work in January will be very busy putting the pieces of the puzzle together, bearing in mind that we're going to try and work on the title of the ICANN 64 sessions for them to be a little bit more explicit, which came out of the outreach sub-committee on

outreach and engagement, and actually in Barcelona as well. So, lots of work needs to be done there. And, I don't have much more to say, but we will definitely have much more after the holiday period, and during our next call. Thank you, Maureen. Maureen, does anyone hear me?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, Gisella, I can hear you. Thank you. Sorry, I hadn't unmuted myself, I was talking to myself here. So yes, thank you Gisella, and as I said to myself that I really do appreciate the number of people who have put their names forward to assist us with this program, because it's a lot of work, and Gisella [inaudible] coming up to a few weeks before. We're still deliberating about things, so if we can start early in January that would be really good.

Okay, no other questions or queries about that, moving on to just the agenda for the next meeting. I'm assuming that, if you have any items that you want to raise for that meeting that you'll let us know. There will be probably not that much that will be an update as this was, and if there's any other questions or queries will be welcome. So, apart from that, is there anything else that anyone would like to raise at this particular point in time? Okay, thank you, thank you, Heidi. Okay, so I can move forward and declare that this meeting is closed.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Maureen, this is Heidi, did you want to go officially to AOB and did you want to mention the results of the calls that we just had, or inform the results to the candidates first?

MAUREEN HILYARD: I would rather do that, yes please. The candidates first, yes please. All right, now I can close the meeting. Ah yes, [inaudible] is giving notifications of the engagement meeting on the 20ᵗʰ. Judith?

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Right, yes, I'm wondering about the ALAC meeting is on the 18ᵗʰ, and it conflicts also with the planning meeting on the budget, and whether we're going to move the ALAC meeting up a little bit to avoid that conflict?

MAUREEN HILYARD: I'll definitely look at that, because we do need to get the finance committee meeting too. Okay, glad you raised that, Heidi, can you look that we don't actually have that conflict? That's really strange.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. I'll have people look into that, thank you.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Okay, thank you. All right, is that it? Thank you everyone. Close the meeting, nice to have you here, thank you.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]