

At-Large Workspace: Deferral of Country Code Names Supporting Organization Review

Public Comment Close	Statement Name	Status	Assignee (s)	Call for Comments Open	Call for Comments Close	Vote Open	Vote Close	Date of Submission	Staff Contact and Email	Statement Number
19 May 2017	Deferral of Country Code Names Supporting Organization Review	<div>ADOPTED</div> 13Y, 0N, 0A	Alan Greenberg	08 May 2017	15 May 2017	16 May 2017	19 May 2017	19 May 2017	Lars Hoffmann lars.hoffmann@i-cann.org	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-05-00-EN

Hide the information below, please click [here](#) >>

- [Comments Forum](#)

Brief Overview

Purpose:

The purpose of this public comment is to gather Community feedback as to whether the forthcoming ccNSO review should be deferred as proposed. All feedback will be considered by the Board in making their determination on the proposed deferral until August 2018.

Current Status:

Currently, the ccNSO Review is scheduled to start no later than August 2017. The ccNSO has expressed their desire to defer the upcoming review by 12 months. They also indicated they would use that time to perform an assessment of the implementation status of the recommendations that arose from the previous ccNSO Review, assuring that in August 2018 the ccNSO will be well prepared for an effective and efficient review.

This public comment forum aims to inform the Board for its upcoming deliberation by gathering the views of the ICANN Community on this proposed deferral.

Next Steps:

Following an evaluation of the public comments received, the ICANN Board will consider deferring the next ccNSO Review until August 2018.

Section I: Description, Explanation, and Purpose

As per ICANN's Bylaws, Article 4, Section 4.4 (a), organizational reviews, such as the ccNSO Review, 'shall be conducted no less frequently than every five years, based on feasibility as determined by the Board.'

The Final Report of the previous ccNSO Review was submitted to the Board on 4 March 2011. Following an initial deferral due to the IANA Transition, the ICANN Board, based on explicit feedback by the ccNSO, proposes to defer the upcoming ccNSO Review until August 2018.

The ccNSO will use the time until the start of the next ccNSO Review to conduct an evaluation of the implementation status of the recommendations that arose from the previous Review.

Section II: Background

On 22 March 2017, the Chair of the Board's Organizational Effectiveness Committee (OEC), the body that oversees ICANN's Organizational Reviews, reached out separately to the leadership of the RSSAC, SSAC, and ccNSO. The Chair enquired whether, due to the busy community work schedule, any of them would like to defer their respective organizational review by 12 months; all three of these organizational reviews were scheduled to start in 2017. The ccNSO Chair responded that the ccNSO would like to take advantage of such a deferral.

Section III: Relevant Resources

[Letter from Chair of the OEC to the Chair of the ccNSO](#) [PDF, 16 KB]

[Response from the Chair of the ccNSO to the Chair of the OEC](#) [PDF, 40 KB]

Section IV: Additional Information

Section V: Reports

Staff Contact

Lars Hoffmann
lars.hoffmann@icann.org

FINAL VERSION TO BE SUBMITTED IF RATIFIED

The final version to be submitted, if the draft is ratified, will be placed here by upon completion of the vote.



AL-ALAC-ST-0517-05-00-EN.pdf

FINAL DRAFT VERSION TO BE VOTED UPON BY THE ALAC

The final draft version to be voted upon by the ALAC will be placed here before the vote is to begin.

The ALAC supports the ccNSO request to defer their review.

ICANN is overwhelmed with reviews of all kinds at the moment. If the ccNSO believes that a deferral will be beneficial to their use of volunteer resources and will result in a better outcome of the review when it is performed, this is a win-win situation.

The Bylaws give the Board some discretion on the scheduling of organizational reviews (unlike the Specific Reviews where there is no latitude for deferral). The present case is an appropriate use of this discretion.

FIRST DRAFT SUBMITTED

The first draft submitted will be placed here before the call for comments begins.

The ALAC supports the ccNSO request to defer their review.

ICANN is overwhelmed with reviews of all kinds at the moment. If the ccNSO believes that a deferral will be beneficial to their use of volunteer resources and will result in a better outcome of the review when it is performed, this is a win-win situation.

The Bylaws give the Board some discretion on the scheduling of organizational reviews (unlike the Specific Reviews where there is no latitude for deferral). The present case is an appropriate use of this discretion.