

8 October 2009 Community Call. At-Large Director Appointment Process

Meeting Number: AL.ALAC/CC.1000/1

Date: Thursday 08 October 2009

Time: 1900 UTC

12:00 PDT, 15:00 EDT, 16:00 Buenos Aires, 20:00 London, 21:00 CEST, 22:00 Nairobi, 03:00(+1) Singapore/Hong Kong, 06:00(+1) Sydney

Adobe Connect Room: <http://icann.na3.acrobat.com/atlargedirector08102009/>

Meeting Background:

In its meeting of 27th August 2009, the ICANN Board of Directors unanimously resolved as follows:

'IT IS RESOLVED THAT the recommendation of the BRWG to add one voting director appointed from the At-Large Community to the ICANN Board of Directors, and removing the present ALAC Liaison to the Board, is approved in principle for implementation. Staff is directed to identify all steps required to achieve the implementation of this principle, after issuing of the BRWG Final Report.'

The proposal that one or more voting Director seats on the ICANN Board should be selected by the At-Large community is a recommendation of the recently-completed At-Large Independent Review process. The other recommendations in the Final Report of the Board's At-Large Review Working Group were approved by the Board during their meeting at the Sydney ICANN meeting in June.

As referenced in the final part of the resolution, the next step in the implementation of the resolution will be the presentation at the Seoul meeting of the full report of the BRWG (Board Review Working Group), as this element of change of the Board is a part of the broader Board review process.

In the meantime, the At-Large community's ALAC has resolved upon drawing up a process to submit to the Structural Improvements Committee of the Board at the Seoul ICANN Meeting. This section is for development of ideas and a draft process to that end.

Presenters:

Participants: Sebastien Bachollet

Fatimata Seye Sylla, Christopher Wilkinson, Karl Aurerback, Wendy Seltzer, Patrick Vande Wallle, Vanda Scartezini, Sebastien Bachollet, Edward Hasbrook, Evan Leibovitch, Tricia Drakes, Olivier Crepin Leblond, Alan Greenberg, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Dessi Greve, Garreth Sherman, Dev Anand Teelucksingh

Staff: Heidi Ullrich

Interpretation Available: No

How can I participate in this meeting?

alac: Who is on the dial-out list for this call?

Recording: [English](#)

Transcript: [English](#)

A G E N D A

1. Introduction: Welcome, Purpose and Proces of Call (Cheryl Langdon Orr) - 5 min

a. Welcome

b. Purpose of Call

This call is an initial opportunity for the At-Large Community to to discuss the issue of the At-Large Director Appointment Process in preparation for the [meeting of the ALAC and the SIC](#) at the Seoul Meeting. The ALAC/SIC meeting will focus on matters of implementation of outcomes from the ALAC Review. The At-Large Director Appointment process is one of the key issues resulting from the ALAC Review and will require considerable Community input and discussion. This call will review initial thinking, including a draft NARALO proposal, and begin an inter and intra regional dialogue.

c. Process of Call

- i. The length of the call will be 90 minutes. A 30 minute extension to the call will be optioned by mutual consent of those on the call if required.
- ii. An Adobe Connect Chatroom will be utilized during the call.
- iii. Regional input/comment will then be called for on the Agenda items below. The order will be alphabetical order by RALO: AFRALO, APRALO, EURALO, LACRALO, NARALO (at the discretion of the Chair). Each RALO will have 3-5 minutes speaking time on any matter.
- iv. Comments from the Members of the SIC and ALAC Review Committee

2. Review and Discussion of Current Draft Procedure for Appointment of a Director by At-Large (NARALO leadership) - 15 min - [click here](#) for draft NARALO proposal

Input and comment will be called for using the Adobe Connect room. The order will be rotated to ensure all regional and individual input is collected and offered for discussion (including within the Adobe Connect chat space) to allow for all participants to have ample and equitable opportunity to comment and contribute. Time limits will be placed on speakers reflecting the number of speakers wishing to address matters at any given time.

3. Consideration and Discussion of Matters Posted in the [Ideas for Consideration Page](#) - 15-20 mins

Speaker order and time limits will apply (see notes at Agenda Item 3 above)

4. Consideration and Discussion of Matters Legal Questions - 15-20 mins

Speaker order and time limits will apply (see notes at Agenda Item 3 above)

5. Consideration and Discussion of Draft Candidate Criteria - 15 - 20 mins

Speaker order and time limits will apply (see notes at Agenda Item 3 above)

6. Next Steps: [Seoul ALAC/SIC Meeting](#) and Beyond - 10-15 mins

Final comments from Regional representatives and Members of the SIC and ALAC Review Committee

[Questions About Legal or Other Issues](#) - use this page to ask questions about other issues which you think may impact upon the development of the process. The Staff will ensure they get transmitted to the right staff person to get the answers.

[Draft Candidate Requirements](#) - This page is to develop the requirements for seeking election to the At-Large selected Director position.

Useful Links

[NomCom Director Requirements 2009](#)

Comments:

Again the timing is totally impossible for Asians to participate (3am?!) and difficult for Pacific people. If it is impossible to find a time comfortable in all the time zones, people from all the continents should at least share the pains, rather than ruling out Asians every time.

Hong

contributed by Guest User on Oct 6 6:16pm

contributed by heidi.ullrich@icann.org on 2009-10-08 00:15:35 GMT

I strongly agree with Hong! 4 am in Japan and Korea!
please consider having it twice for different time zone folks
as a more practical solution.
izumi

contributed by guest@socialtext.net on 2009-10-08 05:29:00 GMT