ALC Criteria and Expectations – Status Update

ALS Criteria – DT-C

- No change in current self-funding, largely individual led criteria
- Three contacts identified (and ongoing).
- Contacts do not need to be organization leaders, but application must have leadership knowledge and support.
- Contact must have ability to communicate with organization membership (linked to operational expectation to re-distribute information from Staff and RALO).
  - Methodology to be used described in application
- Minimum size not specified, but expected to be greater than just contacts and/or organization leadership (judgement call needed to decide if appropriate in individual case).
- Must explain intersection between interests of organization and ICANN.
- Have an online presence (web, Facebook). (Should ICANN provide a basic one?)
- Certify understanding of Commitments and Expectations
- ?? If a member of other ICANN constituency, restrict voting? If yes, adjust ALS count for vote quorum?
- Cross-Region ALS – Belong to region where headquartered, or member plurality (?) (at time of application?)

Notes for: Application Process – DT-A

- Membership in other ICANN constituency
- What expertise will ALS bring to At-Large (the ALS as a whole, or individuals within the ALS)
- Optional Reference (ALS Rep, other At-Large Participant, Other ICANN person)

Operational Expectations – DT-E

Definite ALS Expectations

- Report annually on its leadership, membership, major activities and "linkages" with ICANN (ie why they are an ALS). To the extent practical, this reporting process will be streamlined and easy.
- Redistribute regular ICANN updates to its members (these updates will be created by staff but might be augmented by the RALO)
- Reference ICANN and At-Large prominently on its website (or Facebook or whatever), either on its home page, or on a secondary page which is obviously linked to the home page (such as a pointer for group memberships or affiliations).
- When specific issues are brought to the attention of ALSes, each ALS should evaluate whether they can contribute or not, and if they can ALS members should be involved.
- Respond to specific requests from the RALO as needed.

Possible At-Large-wide ALS Expectations

- Voting, but de-emphasized – should not be the major criteria for being "active".
- Monthly RALO Teleconference participation (at some reasonable level, need to define participation: presence or activity) Note that meeting attendance is a matter for an individual, and not the entire ALS.
- Grace period for ramping up involvement (1 year?)
- Some substantive participation (webinars, WGs, regional statements)?
- Define "inactive" status and implications
- Of the above, perhaps SOME combination, or some specific presence is ok. i.e. Flexibility
- How do we differentiate between an active single person who is active, and an active ALS?
- 100% certainty is not a target.
- Perhaps as a requirement for travel if not continued membership.
- How do we measure activity "on the ground". Is it sufficient if it is very active but the activity is unconnected to ICANN?

RALO Expectations
(largely driven by MoUs)

- In conjunction with At-Large Staff, disseminate information on ICANN, its activities and its topical issues.
- Work with At-Large Staff and representatives of other RALOs to improve our ability to provide useful and critical information to ALSes and Individual Members (if applicable).
- Develop methodologies applicable to the region to facilitate and encourage feedback from and interactions with, the ALSes, their members and Individual Members (if applicable) within their region.
- Consolidate feedback to allow it to be incorporated into ALAC policy statements.
- Develop a program to effectively mobilize Individual Members within the region.

Staff Expectations

- Monthly "What's Going On"
- Briefing documents on new activities of potential interest
- Tracking where expectations have metrics
- Welcome webinars