

**All Responses (176)** <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-K288XKT67/>

**ALAC Responses (48)** <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-N56C5ZR67/>

## A COMPARISON OF AT-LARGE VS ALL OF ICANN RESPONSES.

**PLEASE SEND ME ANY COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO THOSE MADE BELOW.**

#	QUESTION	At-Large Responses	ICANN Responses
1	What ICANN group do you belong to	48	27% of 176 total respondents
2	Respondents per Region	AF:15% AP:13% EU:19% LA: 16% NA:21%	AF:11% AP:11% EU:30% LA: 18% NA:30%
3	How effective is the ICANN Public Meeting yearly structure, with one Community Forum in March, one Policy Forum in June, and one Annual General Meeting in October?	<p><b>Effective: 65%</b>                      Very effective: 23%                      Ineffective: 8%</p> <p>KEY: <b>&lt;5% VARIANCE</b>   <b>&gt;5% VARIANCE</b></p>	<p><b>Effective: 61%</b>                      Very effective: 18%                      Ineffective: 2%</p>

<p>4</p>	<p>If you selected ineffective or very ineffective, please explain how the yearly format could be improved?</p> <p>(48 ICANN-wide responses including 7 from At-Large)</p> <p>Common key words: (Meeting/s, ICANN), policy, community</p>		
	<p><b>POLICY COMMENTS;</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#order is appropriate for tackling global policy issues</li> <li>*allowing sufficient time for policy work is critical</li> <li>*perhaps two policy sessions per year</li> <li>*little difference between 1&amp;3, and policy has reduced attendance &amp; engagement yet important in virtual context</li> <li>**Policy forum has become bloated, just another meeting – needs to focus exclusively on policy work</li> <li>*policy forum not sufficient for focus on policy – schedule clogged with non-related meetings</li> <li>*policy work could be divvied up during the year</li> <li>*policy forum in June is less value cos of less prep time – needs more discussion than passive listening</li> <li>*eliminate policy forum – not necessary</li> <li>*most policy work is done outside of the public meetings, so what is the point of gathering everyone together online at a fixed date and time?</li> </ul>	<p><b>COMMUNITY COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Public meetings should not be a “state of play” recalling issues, but should add value</li> <li>#focus of public sessions blurred - more of an opportunity to “get together” regardless of the focus</li> <li>*virtual public meetings becoming more of a “roadshow” with less discussions unless in working groups</li> <li>*Org needs to consider other tech option to deliver virtual mtgs rather than just zoom, and a web-based calendar</li> <li>*virtual mtgs need improvement - more intersessional work would reduce the need for gathering of the community.</li> <li>*Many sessions are empty in substance and others could be done on regular monthly.</li> <li>*there is no meaningful distinction between the meetings- get rid of the titles or stick to the topics to make the designation meaningful</li> <li>*artificial division of policy-general-community does not make much sense and prevents attendance of certain branches of ICANN from attending eg compliance during a community meeting</li> <li>*the AGM is too long</li> <li>*the purpose of each mtg is lost especially virtually, no clear distinction between the 3</li> <li>*the format of virtual mtgs is ineffective</li> <li>*short middle of the year mtg is nearly useless as it takes about as long to get there as we spend at the meeting</li> <li>#the meeting formats often ineffective as not relevant to what should be achieved, fitting with an Org need rather than a community need.</li> </ul>	<p><b>NUMBER COMMENTS: FROM ICANN LIST</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*only 2 instead of 3 meetings</li> <li>*number is less important than getting work done</li> <li>*taking current situation into account, are 3 annual mtgs necessary – need to look at what we need to achieve</li> <li>*perhaps two policy sessions per year</li> <li>*number of meetings can be reduced</li> <li>*more frequent and shorter might be more effective in keeping the community engaged and the work done</li> <li># reduce the global mtgs to 2 and increase the number of specialised events – by topic or geographical location</li> <li>*1x F2F, 2x Virtual</li> <li>*should reduce to 2 meetings per year, longer if necessary</li> <li>*mtgs are indistinguishable in structure and content, if continue as virtual, no need to be concentrated in 3 events</li> <li>*3 F2F mtg structure functional and allows for work to be done, less effective when held remotely</li> <li>*the number needs to be discussed, because of the virtual environment we are now in, it now seems like a never ending meeting.</li> <li>#2/3 short policy forums/year and 1 general assembly</li> <li>*Attending a virtual meeting should not be a burden that disrupts participants lives and agendas</li> <li>* the three meetings are still very similar formats</li> <li>*virtual meetings should be lighter with a few key sessions – other sessions should be spread over the year.</li> <li>*there should not be meetings for the sake of meetings</li> </ul>



	<p>- this is not encouraging young people to attend, the only one with this luxury of time are the retired or the unemployed.</p> <p>- And for underserved regions, it doesn't matter how much ICANN helps to pay the internet fee, there is no such internet as to properly connect to a meeting.</p> <p>*It is exhausting because of two main reasons: 1. When following a meeting online people are also working for their daily job and it is very hard to manage both and be productive. This leads to many simply entering the online meeting but not being really present. While when in a face-to-face meeting, you know ICANN is your only commitment during those 5 days and you focus all your energy in the meeting, taking a complete break from the job. 2. And as an additional disadvantage, the networking.</p> <p>* Very difficult to do networking and work closely with people when you are remote.</p> <p>*While it removes the stress with traveling, it introduces the stress in doing many other things at the same time hence there is more likelihood of getting distracted from time to time in a remote based meeting. The break time side talks that usually contribute towards improving outcome of a face to face discussion thereby arriving at consensus faster, is also lacking.</p> <p>*Because of lack of personal communications and informal discussions</p> <p>* Breakout rooms could help to improve the experience.</p> <p>Brainstorming spaces are needed to have the opportunity to talk in a more intimate environment. The setting of meetings using the timezone of the region where the virtual meeting is held hampers the possibility of having all interested parties involved. In a face-to-face scenario, everyone is in the same colocation.</p> <p>* There are lots of distractions and inability to see the facial expression.</p>	<p>*Because of the session zone time and also i cannot attend all sessions for issues of my job and other activities</p> <p>* you need to adopt to a different time zone, which obviously is very difficult since we are in the mean time working 8 hr in our daily jobs.</p> <p>* Less sessions. Need to be concentrate in main issues needed multistakeholder inputs More multistakeholder meetings and exchanges (like the European round-table of ICANN69)</p> <p>* Very difficult to do networking and work closely with people when you are remote.</p> <p>* Time zone difference and shorter attention span online than face to face</p> <p>* Because the session zone time and also i don't can attend all sessions for issues of my job and other activities</p> <p>* The schedules in the virtual meetings should be modified, you have to find intermediate hours that do not make you get up at 3 AM. A pleasant experience turns into something terrifying</p> <p>* Ineffective because we are being plugged into sessions that are not often inconvenient for our constituency needs as far as timing and timezones. Also the meeting formats are too restrictive because of Org policies.</p>	<p>*Need virtual corridor conversations and other virtual places for smaller and more ad hoc conversations. Need to break up the monotony of a wall of Zoom meetings every day. Need to create a sense of place and community.</p> <p>* Meetings are generally where people gather formally and informally and develop a deeper understanding of different perspectives on issues. Very difficult task in a virtual setting. Well moderated sessions that present different perspectives on issues and cross-community sessions that highlight hot topics for various stakeholders have both been successful bridge strategies. A keynote speaker with various virtual breakout rooms to discuss the topic might be interesting if it is not too resource intensive to organize. Emphasize some networking events at the front end of the meeting, before everyone is exhausted with the time zone issues and zoom fatigue.</p> <p>* It is not the same as in-person meetings. We lose most of the interactions and networking that could happen in a face to face meeting</p> <p>*Co-ordinate with other constituencies to minimize overlap on session topics.</p> <p>* Interaction in a face to face setting is very important to help "lubricate" positions and better understand others' views</p>
9	<p>Select which virtual public meeting feature(s) or platform(s) need improvement?</p>	<p>Networking activities: 73%</p> <p>Time zone of meeting: 50%</p> <p>Interpretation platform: 44%</p> <p>Interpretation Availability: 40%</p> <p>Schedule platform: 40%</p> <p>Zoom platform: 33%</p>	<p>Time zone of meeting: 54%</p> <p>Networking activities: 50%</p> <p>Schedule platform: 38%</p> <p>Registration platform: 30%</p> <p>Zook Platform: 21%</p> <p>Interpretation Availability: 20%</p>

10	For the individual sessions at ICANN Virtual Public Meetings, rank the features most important	<p><b>Top 3 (combined 1,2&amp;3 ratings)</b>                  Interaction with other participants - 73%                  Interaction presenters &amp; participants - 73%                  Presentation materials available - 40%</p> <p><b>Least favoured (combined ratings 7,8,9)</b>                  Use videos to see presenters - 45%                  Unmoderated chat - 51%                  Use videos to see participants - 63%</p>	<p><b>Top 3 (combined 1,2 or 3 ratings)</b>                  Interaction with other participants - 64%                  Interaction presenters &amp; participants - 70%                  Presentation materials available - 42%</p> <p><b>Least favoured (combined ratings 7,8,9)</b>                  Participant queues - 48%                  Unmoderated chat – 57%                  Use videos to see participants – 64%</p>
11	How would you characterise the number of sessions scheduled for each of the following virtual ICANN meetings	<p>Too many – (67)19%, (68)19%, (69)44%                  Correct number – 60%, 62%, 46%                  Too few sessions – 8%, 9%, 6%</p>	<p>Too many – (67)23%, (68)17%, (69)35%                  Correct number of sessions – 47%, 56%, 53%                  Too few sessions – 14%, 13%, 7%</p>
12	What is the ideal length of an individual session	<p>60 minutes – 44%                  90 minutes – 38%                  75 minutes – 17%                  120 minutes – 2%</p>	<p>60 minutes – 40%                  90 minutes – 36%                  75 minutes – 22%                  120 minutes – 2%</p>
13	What would drive the time zone of each virtual public meeting	<p>Rotating around the regions – 52%                  Original Meeting location time – 29%                  A consistent time zone – 19%</p>	<p>Rotating around the regions – 52%                  Original Meeting location time – 27%                  A consistent time zone – 22%</p>
14	Rank by importance which activities should take place during ICANN Virtual Public Meetings	<p>Decision-making –                  Information sharing –                  Capacity Building –                  Policy-making –                  Issue reporting –                  Networking -                  Outreach -</p>	<p>Information sharing –                  Decision-making –                  Policy-making –                  Capacity building –                  Issue reporting –                  Networking –                  Outreach –</p>
15	Which of the following session types should take place outside of ICANN Public Meetings	<p>Regional meetings – 67%                  Working group meetings – 58%                  NomCom meetings – 50%                  Review team meeting – 46%</p>	<p>Regional meetings – 63%                  NomCom meetings – 53%                  Working Group meetings – 53%                  Review team meetings – 44%</p>

